



CITY OF DULUTH
PURCHASING DIVISION
Room 100 City Hall
411 West First Street
Duluth, Minnesota 55802-1199
218/730-5340 218/730-5922 FAX
purchasing@duluthmn.gov

Addendum # 2
RFP # 19-99349
Project #1596 Cross City Trail Temporary Relocation

This addendum serves to notify all Proposers of the following answers to submitted questions:

1. The RFP notes one of the tasks is to “explore all options for routes, type of facility, and suggest any alternatives as may be appropriate”. It is our anticipation that the feasible route alternatives to explore include First Street, Superior Street, and Michigan Street. Does the city have other alternative routes that should be considered?

3rd Street and Lower Michigan alley.

2. Is it intended that the work at 1st and Piedmont will preclude the use of that intersection during the 2020 construction season? Or is this intersection work identified as a planned project that could be modified to include a section of the temporary route?

That has not been discussed with MnDOT as the plans are just starting to be developed. The city would expect they need to maintain pedestrian and bike access, but this is not certain.

3. Please confirm that we should provide a detailed list of project tasks, with assigned staff, hourly billing rates, and expenses, and that this breakdown should not be included in the 10 page technical proposal.

A detailed list of tasks with approximate hours and assigned staff should be included in the technical proposal – WITHOUT any associated cost information. A detailed breakdown with cost information – hourly rates and totals – MUST be included as part of the cost proposal.

4. Does a detailed list of hours, without costs, also need to be included in the 10 page technical proposal?

See above.

5. Please confirm that the City will manage and administer the construction contract and contractor payments and will provide construction inspection and material testing.

Yes, the city will handle construction administration and inspection.

6. The Bike Detour Location Map exhibit shows a note for 2020 road closure along USH 53. Please confirm that this is for closure of 22nd Avenue West for MnDOT's work to replace the Coffee Creek culvert as part of the TPI project.

Yes, and utility work and road reconstruction of 22nd Ave. West.

7. What is the City's anticipated construction schedule for the CCT relocation work and will it be constructed by City ahead of the Twin Ports Interchange project construction or as part of it? Assuming approximately April-June of 2020 according to RFP, but looking to confirm not to be constructed yet in 2019.

It will not be constructed this year. It may be included in MnDOT's bid set, but in either case would not be constructed until 2020. Once the city has a cost estimate, a determination will be made as to whether MnDOT can/will include it. Otherwise the city will bid it out.

8. Related to Item 1, will the construction work be bid separately by City or incorporated into MnDOT's bid packages 1 or 2 similar to what was indicated in recent utility relocation RFP? Again, goes to City's expected completion date for the design work/process and when we'd be expected to have construction documents completed.

See above, go off the timeline outlined in the RFP.

9. From the RFP, sounds like City expects that one detour will be placed for the CCT prior to the TPI construction beginning—not detouring small sections of the CCT once an intersection is taken out of service for construction and detouring piece by piece, correct? Assume when the US 53 bridges go down we will need an on-road bike route. In other words, design will be for one overall detour to be placed ahead of TPI startup and taken down once TPI construction completed.

Keeping the temporary detour in place after the TPI is being considered. Depends on costs and public response. We have told the public it will be temporary unless we get support to keep.

10. Is City staff from Parks or Engineering who participated in the public process detailed in the RFP (i.e. attachments showing February and March meeting summaries, and Options B/C) available to discuss the outcomes of that process and how it is expected to impact the work under the RFP?

There is not much else to add beyond the summary information that has already been provided. Parks was not involved in the prior meetings. The two best options from the process are included in the RFP.

Please acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by including a copy of the Addendum with the Proposal.

Posted Date. April 29, 2019