

Date: June 21, 2011

RE: City of Duluth Bid #11-4402 (VALE Program Bid Package)

Addendum No. 2

TO: Prospective Bidders

This Addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents and modifies the original Bidding Documents dated June 9, 2011. Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided on the Bid Form. Failure to do so may subject the Bidder to disqualification.

Technical Specifications:

<u>Volume No. 3 Specification</u>: Modify Division 15 – Mechanical Section 15747 – GROUND HEAT EXCHANGER – Modify Sub-paragragh A.1 of Article 1.3 – SUBMITTAL to read:

- 1. Submittal shall utilize drilling logs and thermal conductivity test information provided from (2) on site test bores. Any other parameters that the contractor uses in determining the GHEX shall be noted.
 - a. Refer to Appendix I Boring Logs for Test VHE Installations 1 & 2.
 - b. Refer to Appendix II Formation Thermal Response Testing Results for Test VHE Installations 1 & 2.

<u>Volume No. 3 Specification</u>: Modify Division 15 – Mechanical Section 15747 – GROUND HEAT EXCHANGER – Add: Appendix II – Formation Thermal Response Testing Results for Test VHE Installations 1 & 2; attached to this addendum.

Drawings: Replace drawings listed below with sheets included with this Addendum No. 1

Sheet C003 – Construction Safety Phasing Plan: Revised Pipe Routing.

Sheet C211 – Fencing Layout Site Plan and Notes: Revised Fence Layout.

- Sheet M-100 Overall Geothermal Site Plan: Revised Geothermal Well Field Layout and GWS & GWR Pipe Routing.
- Sheet M-101 Geothermal Site Mechanical Partial Plan: Revised Geothermal Well Field Layout and GWS & GWR Pipe Routing.
- Sheet M-102 Geothermal Site Mechanical Partial Plan: Revised Geothermal Well Field Layout and GWS & GWR Piping Routing.
- Sheet M-110 Geothermal First Floor Mechanical Plan Part A: Revised GWS & GWR Pipe Routing.
- Sheet M-114 Geothermal Third Floor Mechanical Plan Part A: Revised GWS & GWR Pipe Routing.

Add new drawing listed below.

Sheet M-112 – Geothermal Second Floor Mechanical Plan Part A.

Other:

Log and location map for Geotechnical Boring No. 09-05 attached for reference.



END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2

APPENDIX II

Formation Thermal Response Testing Results

For

Test VHE Installations 1 & 2

GROUND HEAT EXCHANGER VALE Program Bid Package 15747 - Appendix II

Formation Thermal Response Testing Results

Duluth International Airport – New Terminal Duluth, Minnesota

Prepared for

Kraus-Anderson Construction Company

Prepared by

Braun Intertec Geothermal, LLC

Professional Certification:

I hereby certify that this plan, specification or report was prepared by me or under my direct supervision and that I am a duly Licensed Professional Geologist under the laws of the State of Minnesota.

My S. Browe, Pb

Gregory S. Browne, PG Geological Services Manager License Number: 30088

| Print N | lame: | bregerys | 5. Browne |
|---------|-------|----------|----------------|
| Signati | ire: | hall | m |
| Date | 6-7 | 20-11 | License #30088 |

Project: GT-11-02378 June 20, 2011

Introduction

Ground coupled heat pump HVAC systems utilize the earth as a heat source and sink by combining geothermal heat pumps with a system of buried, fluid-filled, high density polyethylene pipes. This system of closed loops provides efficient transfer of heat between the geologic formations and the fluid flowing in the pipes.

For commercial and institutional applications, vertical bore is the ground heat exchanger configuration of choice. This is due to a combination of enhanced heat exchange efficiency in saturated formations at depths greater than 50 feet, and reduced site area required for installation.

The size and cost of a vertical bore ground heat exchanger (VHE) system is highly dependent on the formation thermal properties unique to each site. Accuracy in design requires certain site-specific parameters, most importantly formation thermal conductivity, borehole thermal resistance and undisturbed ground temperature measurements. Without the data gathered and calculations documented in this report, the VHE system is likely to be disproportioned, resulting either in unnecessary first costs if oversized, or in unreliable HVAC performance if undersized.

Braun Intertec Geothermal, LLC (Braun Intertec) Formation Thermal Response Testing provides a reliable method of determining ground thermal properties and borehole thermal resistance utilizing representative borehole heat exchangers installed at the site of the future VHE system. This report provides details of the drilling, installation, data gathering, and subsequent analysis of the formation thermal response testing.

Two (2) VHEs were installed during the period June 7 to June 10, 2011 by Sam's Well Drilling. A Braun Intertec technician performed thermal response testing for not less than 36 hours for the VHEs during the period June 13 to June 17, 2011. A map showing the site location and the location of the VHEs is attached as Figure 1. Boring logs showing the encountered geology and VHE schematics, and a copy of the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) completion form is also attached. Grout samples were collected from each installation, Grout Sample #1 (Test VHE #2) and Grout Sample #2 (Test VHE #1). The results are reported later in this report and the laboratory reports are attached.

Drilling and installation of the VHEs was observed by a Minnesota Professional Geologist. Photographs showing the progress of the drilling and installation of the VHEs are attached.

Ground Temperature, Thermal Response Testing, and Data Collection Procedures

Based on research conducted by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE), the International Ground Source Heat Pump Association (IGSHPA) developed a standard for performing thermal conductivity tests for closed-loop geothermal ground heat exchangers.

Braun Intertec Thermal Response Testing procedures are based on the most stringent interpretation of the IGSHPA Closed-Loop/Geothermal Heat Pump Systems - Design and Installation Standards (2010). We further attempt to increase the practicality of the results by including a measurement of borehole thermal resistance as well as calculations for volumetric heat capacity. All of which improve design accuracy.

Thermal Response Testing is performed by injecting heat at a constant rate into a heat carrier fluid (water), circulating the fluid through the borehole heat exchanger, and measuring the rate of temperature change over the stated test duration. With our equipment, heating capacity and flow rate are adjustable to target a temperature development in the heat carrier fluid as similar as possible to that of the final heat pump system. Desired heating rate is 20 Watts per bore foot to target the median range of the IGSHPA Standard of 15 to 25 Watts. Desired flow rate is 3 GPM/12 Mbh to ensure turbulence and realistic fluid temperature differential. Fluid temperatures are measured and logged 60 times every hour, without significant interruption¹, to maximize data convergence and reliability. Collected data is then analyzed to determine thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity, and borehole thermal resistance. Calculation procedures and results are documented later in this report.

Braun Intertec testing apparatus includes a hydronic heater, a data logger, electrical network with reliable generation, hydronic circuit, and measuring devices for the following six parameters:

- Voltage to heating elements (x2)
- Amperage to heating elements (x2)
- Incoming fluid temperature
- Outgoing fluid temperature
- Flow rate of heat conducting fluid
- Ambient air temperature within the apparatus cabinet

A total of 12.5 kW of onboard electrical generation capacity provides portable power for all equipment, enabling dependable operation at any location at system depths up to 500 feet. Braun Intertec developed and constructed this testing apparatus in 2007 to meet or exceed ASHRAE and IGSHPA standards in effect at that time.

¹ "Significant Interruption" is defined as any loss of data collection, flow, and/or heat input for any single duration of greater than 10 minutes.

For a complete list of pertinent standards, please refer to ASHRAE's 2007 HVAC Applications Handbook, page 32.12 and ASHRAE's Research Summary 1118-TRP "Methods for Determining Soil and Rock Formation Thermal Properties from Field Tests" as well as IGSHPA's 2010 Design and Installation Standards.

Undisturbed Ground Temperature Testing Procedure:

The IGSHPA Standard for undisturbed ground temperature measurement states that it can be obtained by either observing the temperature of the water as it returns from the U-bend to the test equipment at start up, or by direct measurement at various depths with a thermocouple probe.

Braun Intertec chooses to measure by direct insertion of a thermocouple probe into the water filled Ubend. This measurement was performed at 10-foot intervals from ground surface to the bottom of the U-bend pipe, after allowing sufficient time (3 days minimum after installation) for the grout emplaced in the bore and the water temperature in the pipe to equalize with the surrounding formations, and before connection to the thermal response testing equipment. The temperature measurements were then averaged to obtain the undisturbed ground temperature of the VHEs.

Table 1 presents the undisturbed ground temperature measurements obtained for Test VHE #1, and shows which data were excluded for calculation of average undisturbed ground temperature. The first five temperature measurements were excluded from the calculation of average undisturbed ground temperature due to seasonal influence. Temperature sensor error prevented the collection of temperature measurements from Test VHE #2. Temperature variability in the gabbro bedrock should be minimal, so the undisturbed ground temperature measured in test VHE #1 was used also for calculations with regard to Test VHE #2. The temperature profile for Test VHE #1 is presented in Figure 2, and is also shown on the attached boring log.

| Depth Below | Temp | erature | Temperature |
|---------------------|------|---------|-------------|
| Ground Surface (ft) | °C | °F | ۴F |
| 0 | 18.3 | 64.9 | Excluded |
| 10 | 10.6 | 51.1 | Excluded |
| 20 | 8.8 | 47.8 | Excluded |
| 30 | 8.8 | 47.8 | Excluded |
| 40 | 9.0 | 48.2 | Excluded |
| 50 | 9.1 | 48.4 | 48.4 |
| 60 | 8.9 | 48.0 | 48.0 |
| 70 | 8.8 | 47.8 | 47.8 |
| 80 | 87 | 47.7 | 47.7 |
| 90 | 85 | 47.3 | 47.3 |
| 100 | 8.0 | 47.5 | 47.5 |
| 110 | 8.4 | 47.1 | 47.1 |
| 110 | 0.4 | 47.1 | 47.1 |
| 120 | 8.3 | 46.9 | 46.9 |
| 130 | 8.2 | 46.8 | 46.8 |
| 140 | 8.1 | 46.6 | 46.6 |
| 150 | 8.0 | 46.4 | 46.4 |
| 160 | 7.9 | 46.2 | 46.2 |
| 170 | 7.8 | 46.0 | 46.0 |
| 180 | 7.7 | 45.9 | 45.9 |
| 190 | 7.6 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 200 | 7.7 | 45.9 | 45.9 |
| 210 | 7.6 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 220 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 230 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 240 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 250 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 260 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 270 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 280 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 200 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 230 | 7.4 | 45.5 | 45.3 |
| 300 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 310 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 320 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 330 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 340 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 350 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 360 | 7.6 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 370 | 7.6 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 380 | 7.6 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 390 | 7.6 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 400 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 410 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 420 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 430 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 440 | 7.4 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| 450 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 460 | 7.5 | 45.5 | 45.5 |
| 470 | 7.6 | 45.7 | 45.7 |
| 470 | 7.0 | 45.2 | 45.2 |
| 400 | 7.4 | 43.5 | 45.5 |
| 490 | 7.2 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| 497.5 | 7.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 |
| Average | 8.1 | 46.5 | 45.9 |

Table 1: Undisturbed Ground Temperature Measurements – Test VHE #1



Figure 2: Temperature Profile – Test VHE #1

Control of Testing Conditions:

<u>The importance of accurate Linear Slope calculation</u>: Accurate measurement of temperature rate-ofchange, or Linear Slope, is dependent on carefully controlled testing conditions. Flow rate, heat input, and ambient air temperature within the testing cabinet all must be maintained within close tolerances to obtain an accurate Slope for use in calculating a dependable thermal conductivity value. Braun Intertec measures its effectiveness of testing condition control by averaging the incoming and outgoing fluid temperatures ($T_{in} + T_{out}$)/2, and plotting the results against a trend line of the full data set. The IGSHPA 2010 Standard states a maximum deviation of +/- 0.5 °F when comparing to the trend line of the full data set.

<u>Method and data exclusion</u>: Braun Intertec uses the Line Source method of data analysis (Gehlin, 1998; Mogensen, 1983; Witte, et al. 2002) as prescribed by the 2007 ASHRAE Applications Handbook. This method assumes an infinitely thin line source of heat in a continuous medium.

To allow the effect of the finite dimensions of the pipes and grouting material to become insignificant, a number of initial hours of data collected must be omitted from the thermal conductivity calculation. However, neither the IGSHPA Standard nor the ASHRAE research it is based upon, specify this value.

We utilize a calculation (see Equation 1 below) developed by Spitler, et al.², to establish the proper point at which the average of the incoming and outgoing fluid temperatures begin to fit the linear slope trend line for the remainder of the test. At this hour, it is assumed that the borehole heat exchanger becomes essentially saturated and the heat within the fluid begins to transfer to the formation in a constant manner. Test VHEs #1 and #2 both became saturated at 10 hours.

$$t \ge 5r_b^2 / a_{ground}$$
 Equation 1

Where,

| • | t | Time of borehole heat saturation | hr |
|---|--------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| • | r_b | Nominal borehole radius | ft |
| • | a_{ground} | Formation thermal diffusivity | ft²/hr |

² For additional information on this procedure, please refer to *In Situ Measurement of Ground Thermal Conductivity: A Dutch Perspective*, ASHRAE Transactions 4521 (2002).

Thermal Response Testing Control Quality:

Figures 3 through 6 present the testing control effectiveness by presenting the average fluid temperature, trend line of the full data set, and the Control Parameters described above, and the resultant linear slope utilized in the calculation of formation thermal conductivity, thermal diffusivity and borehole thermal resistance, for the tests.

Formation Thermal Response:

To model actual operating system performance, and per ASHRAE recommendations, the fluid flow rate during testing should be sufficient to maintain a temperature differential of 6 to 12 °F, as this is the common operating range for heat pump systems. Figures 7 through 10 present the fluid temperature into and out of the ground heat exchangers and the resultant delta T for the tests.

Heat Rate and Power Consistency:

ASHRAE/IGSHPA recommend a heat development of 15 - 25 W (51 - 85 Btu/hr) per foot of borehole heat exchanger. Furthermore, ASHRAE/IGSHPA recommends a peak power deviation of $\leq 10\%$, and a standard deviation $\leq 1.5\%$. Braun Intertec experience has shown that power interruptions have a profound effect on the reliability of the test results and carefully control testing procedures to prevent this occurrence. Figures 11 through 14 present the heat rate and consistency of power applied for the tests.



Figure 3: Control Quality of Testing Conditions (0 to 36 hours) - Test VHE #1



Figure 4: Temperature vs. Linear Time (10 to 36 hours) – Test VHE #1

















Figure 9: Temperature vs. Time (0 to 36 hours) - Test VHE #2















Figure 13: Heat Rate (10 to 36 hours) - Test VHE #2

Vertical Heat Exchanger Drilling, Installation and Testing Details

Test VHE #1:

Drilling results

- Drilling contractor:
- MN State License No.:
- Drilling start date:
- Drilling end date:
- Depth drilled:
- Productivity (time to drill to stated depth):
- Drilling technique utilized:
- Temporary casing installation:
- Bore diameter:
- Bore diameter (weighted average):
- Static water level:
- Geology:

Vertical heat exchanger installation

- Installation date:
- Active depth of installation:
- Pipe type:
- Field pressure testing specification:
- Pipe diameter / SDR:
- Grout thermal conductivity:
- Portland cement amount used:
- Silica sand amount used:
- Installation record filed with:
- Record identification number:

Testing results

- Testing dates:
- Test duration:
- Ground temperature equilibration period:
- Average undisturbed ground temperature:
- Average delta T:
- Average flow rate:
- Estimated volumetric heat capacity:
- Tested formation thermal conductivity:
- Calculated formation thermal diffusivity:
- Tested borehole thermal resistance:

Sam's Well Drilling (under Enviro-Tec, Inc.) 2130 June 9, 2011 June 10, 2011 500 ft 13 hrs mud rotary / air percussion hammer 6 in., 0-40 ft (see comments) 8 ¾ in. 0-40 ft; 6 in. 48-500 ft 6.2 in. 16 ft Estimated See attached boring log

June 10, 2011 497.5 ft HDPE Passed 1.25 in. / 9 0.95 Btu/(hr-ft-°F), see attached lab report 7,050 lbs 7,050 lbs, Unimin 4030 MDH VL-3086 (copy attached)

June 16 – June 17, 2011 36 hrs 6 days °F 49.5 °F 12.2 5.0 qpm ft³-℃F 34.1 1.25 Btu/(hr-ft-°F) 0.88 ft^2/day 0.304 (hr-ft-°F)/Btu

Drilling and VHE installation comments:

The U-bend loop began floating out of the borehole after installation and grouting on June 10, 2011. The temporary casing could not be removed since the U-bend loop would also have been lifted out of the borehole. Since the Portland-cement based grout was curing by the end of day, the temporary casing could not be removed so it was left in place.

<u>Test VHE #2:</u>

Drilling results

- Drilling contractor:
- MN State License No.:
- Drilling start date:
- Drilling end date:
- Depth drilled:
- Productivity (time to drill to stated depth):
- Drilling technique utilized:
- Temporary casing installation:
- Bore diameter:
- Bore diameter (weighted average):
- Static water level:
- Geology:

Vertical heat exchanger installation

- Installation date:
- Active depth of installation:
- Pipe type:
- Field pressure testing specification:
- Pipe diameter / SDR:
- Grout thermal conductivity:
- Portland cement amount used:
- Silica sand amount used:
- Installation record filed with:
- Record identification number:

Testing results

- Testing dates:
- Test duration:
- Ground temperature equilibration period:
- Average undisturbed ground temperature:
- Average delta T:
- Average flow rate:
- Estimated volumetric heat capacity:
- Tested formation thermal conductivity:
- Calculated formation thermal diffusivity:
- Tested borehole thermal resistance:

Sam's Well Drilling (under Enviro-Tec, Inc.) 2130 June 7, 2011 June 8, 2011 500 ft 13 hrs mud rotary / air percussion hammer 6 in., 0-48 ft 8 ¾ in. 0-48 ft; 6 in. 48-500 ft 6.3 in. 16 ft Estimated See attached boring log

June 9, 2011 498.5 ft HDPE Passed 1.25 in. / 9 0.95 Btu/(hr-ft-°F), see attached lab report 5,640 lbs 5,640 lbs, Unimin 4030 MDH VL-3086 (copy attached)

June 13 – June 15, 2011 36 hrs 4 days 45.9 °F °F 12.2 5.0 qpm ft³-°F 34.1 1.23 Btu/(hr-ft-°F) ft^2/day 0.87 0.330 (hr-ft-°F)/Btu

Formation Thermal Property Calculations

Formation Thermal Conductivity:

Formation thermal conductivity can not be measured directly but must be inferred from the measurements recorded during thermal response testing. In order to do so, and in accordance with ASHRAE/IGSHPA guidelines, a heat transfer model must be adopted, such as the line source method utilized in this analysis. This method is based on Fourier's Law of Heat Conduction. The basis of in situ thermal conductivity testing is to impose a pulse of known and fixed energy flux into the fluid contained in the VHE and to measure the resulting temperature response. The relationship between energy flux and temperature establishes the inferred thermal conductivity of a material (Spitler, et al., 2002).

In order to determine the effective thermal conductivity, the average of the incoming and outgoing fluid temperatures $(T_{in} + T_{out})/2$, was plotted against the logarithm of time after data omission (see Page 6 and Figures 4 and 6).

To solve for thermal conductivity, λ_{ground} , the value of the slope of the lines for each test were inserted, along with the average heat injected, P, and the active borehole length, H, into Equation 2:

$$\lambda_{ground} = \frac{3.413*P}{4\pi H*Slope}$$
 Equation 2

Where,

| • | $\lambda_{_{ground}}$ | Formation thermal conductivity | Btu/(hr-ft- [°] F) |
|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| • | Ρ | Average power injected | W |
| • | Η | Active depth of the borehole heat exchanger | ft |

Test VHE #1 – Thermal conductivity calculation

Where,

• P = 8865.0 W

• *Slope* = 3.868

$$\lambda_{ground} = \frac{3.413*8865.0}{4*3.1415*497.5*3.868} = \frac{30256.3}{24181.1} = 1.25 \,\text{Btu/(hr - ft - °F)}$$

| Average heat per ft of active bore: | 17.8 W |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Peak power deviation: | 91.2% - 103.7% |
| Standard deviation: | 2.5% |

Test VHE #2 – Thermal conductivity calculation

Where,

- P = 8912.4 W
- *H* = 498.5 *ft*
- *Slope* = 3.937

$$\lambda_{ground} = \frac{3.413*8912.4}{4*3.1415*498.5*3.937} = \frac{304180}{246620} = 1.23 \,\text{Btu/(hr - ft - °F)}$$

| Average heat per ft of active bore: | 17.9 W |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Peak power deviation: | 88.6% - 103.2% |
| Standard deviation: | 2.4% |

Formation Volumetric Heat Capacity and Thermal Diffusivity Estimation Procedure:

While neither ASHRAE nor IGSHPA specify the manner in which thermal diffusivity shall be calculated, simply dividing calculated formation thermal conductivity by the estimated volumetric heat capacity of the formation provides this value according to the following equation:

$$a_{ground} = \frac{\lambda_{ground}}{c_{ground}} x24$$
 Equation 3

Where,

•
$$a_{ground}$$
 = Thermal diffusivity ft^2/day
• c_{ground} = Volumetric heat capacity $Btu/(ft^3 - F)$

Volumetric heat capacity is difficult to measure directly, but can be effectively estimated by averaging the soil/rock fractions encountered, along with an estimation of the percentage of groundwater present within the formations. Using published soils data, specific heat and density values are assigned to the soil/rock fractions as follows.

| Formation Log | Depth From (ft) | Depth To (ft) | Total Porosity (%) | Equivalent water (ft) | Specific Heat (Btu/lb-°F) | Density (lb/ft ³) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Silty sand with gravel, dry | 0 | 15 | 42 | 0.0 | 0.19 | 103 |
| Gravel, saturated | 15 | 40 | 33 | 8.3 | 0.19 | 126 |
| Gabbro, moist | 40 | 497.5 | 3 | 13.7 | 0.18 | 187 |

Table 2: Estimated Physical Properties of Encountered Formations – Test VHE #1

Dividing the estimated water content in the formations surrounding the borehole (22.0 feet) by the total depth yields a percentage of groundwater present within the formations of approximately 4%. Using

the estimated water content and the specific heat and density values assigned to water and to the formations encountered results in a nominal volumetric heat capacity calculated to be 34.1 Btu/(ft³-°F).

Thermal diffusivity for Test VHE #1 is then calculated as follows:

| 1.25 | -0.88 ft ² /day |
|----------------------|----------------------------|
| $\frac{1}{34.1}$ x22 | -0.0011^{-7} uay |

| Formation Log | Depth From | Depth To | Total | Equivalent | Specific Heat | Density |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| | (ft) | (ft) | Porosity (%) | water (ft) | (Btu/lb-°F) | (lb/ft ³) |
| Silty sand with gravel, dry | 0 | 16 | 42 | 0.0 | 0.19 | 103 |
| Silty sand with gravel, saturated | 16 | 38 | 42 | 9.2 | 0.19 | 126 |
| Gravel, saturated | 38 | 48 | 33 | 3.3 | 0.19 | 126 |
| Gabbro, moist | 48 | 498.5 | 3 | 13.5 | 0.18 | 187 |

Table 3: Estimated Physical Properties of Encountered Formations – Test VHE #2

Dividing the estimated water content in the formations surrounding the borehole (26.0 feet) by the total depth yields a percentage of groundwater present within the formations of approximately 5%. Using the estimated water content and the specific heat and density values assigned to water and to the formations encountered results in a nominal volumetric heat capacity calculated to be 34.1 Btu/(ft³-°F).

Thermal diffusivity for Test VHE #2 is then calculated as follows:

$$\frac{1.23}{34.1}x24 = 0.87 \,\text{ft}^2/\text{day}$$

Borehole Thermal Resistance Calculation Procedure:

Borehole thermal resistance (R_b) is the total resistance to heat transfer between the fluid in the pipe and the formation surrounding the borehole heat exchanger. This takes into account pipe resistance, fluid convective resistance, grout resistance, and spacing of the pipe in relation to the bore wall. Due to the inconsistency in pipe spacing, borehole thermal resistance is difficult to calculate directly without test data. This measurement is very important as it has a proportional effect on the size of the ground heat exchanger system.

Braun Intertec addresses this problem by installing representative borehole heat exchangers and applying the temperature response data, bore depth, injected heat power rate, formation thermal conductivity, formation thermal diffusivity, radius of the borehole heat exchanger, and the undisturbed ground temperature to a formula established by Gehlin (2002, Page 137) to solve for borehole thermal resistance.

Based on the line-source approximation as used by Mogensen (1983), Eskilson (1987) and Hellstrom (1991), and further simplified by Gehlin (2002), the thermal resistance, R_b , between the fluid and the borehole wall can be reliably modeled using the following formula:

$$T(t) = T_{ug} + \frac{Q}{4\pi\lambda_{ground}H} * \left[E1 \left(\frac{r_b^2}{4a_{ground}t} \right) \right] + \frac{Q}{H} R_b$$
 Equation 4

Where,

| • | T (t) | Temperature dependent on time t | °F |
|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| • | T_{ug} | Undisturbed ground temperature | °F |
| • | Q | Average heat injected | Btu/hr |
| • | $\lambda_{_{ground}}$ | Formation thermal conductivity | Btu/(hr-ft- [°] F) |
| • | Η | Active depth of the borehole heat exchanger | ft |
| • | a_{ground} | Formation thermal diffusivity | ft²/hr |
| • | r_b | Nominal borehole radius | ft |
| • | R_b | Borehole thermal resistance | (h <i>r-ft-[°]F)/Btu</i> |
| | | ~_ <i>u</i> | |

•
$$E1(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e}{u} du$$

A serial development may be used as an approximation of the exponential integral, E1, for small values of x, the normal case for thermal response tests on ground heat exchangers. The following approximation of the exponential integral is used (Gehlin, 2002 from Abramowitz and Stegun, 1964):

 $E1 \approx -\gamma - \ln x + A * x - B * x^2 + D * x^3 - E * x^4 + F * x^5$

Where,

A = 0.99999193B = 0.24991055D = 0.05519968E = 0.00976004F = 0.00107857 γ = Euler's constant = 0.5772...

For a given time (t), a solution for borehole thermal resistance can be obtained by assuming it to be constant over time.

T (*t*) is established by determining the hour in which the average temperature begins to fit the linear slope line for the remainder of the test (see Page 6 for further information), with all data prior to that point omitted from the analysis. The calculations are then averaged for every (*t*) after data omission to obtain R_b .

For additional information on this procedure, please refer to Signhild Gehlin's Doctoral Thesis, Division of Water Resources Engineering, Department of Environmental Engineering, Lulea University of Technology (2002).

Borehole thermal resistance calculations – Test VHE #1

Where,

| $T_{ug} =$ | 45.9 | °F |
|-----------------------|-------|----------------|
| Q = | 30256 | Btu/hr |
| λ_{ground} = | 1.25 | Btu/(hr-ft-°F) |
| H = | 497.5 | ft |
| a _{ground} = | 0.037 | ft²/hr |
| $r_b =$ | 0.26 | ft |

$$T(t) = 45.9 + \frac{30256}{4\pi^{*}1.25^{*}497.5} * \left[E1 \left(\frac{0.26^{2}}{4^{*}0.037^{*}t} \right) \right] + \frac{30256}{497.5} * R_{\rm b}$$

The following figure presents the result of this calculation. Note: (*t*) was obtained every 60 seconds.



Figure 15: Borehole Thermal Resistance vs. Time (10 to 36 hours) – Test VHE #1

Borehole thermal resistance calculations – Test VHE #2

Where,

| $T_{ug} =$ | 45.9 | °F |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Q = | 30418 | Btu/hr |
| λ_{ground} = | 1.23 | Btu/(hr-ft-°F) |
| <i>H</i> = | 498.5 | ft |
| a _{ground} = | 0.036 | ft²/hr |
| <i>r</i> _b = | 0.26 | ft |

$$T(t) = 45.9 + \frac{30418}{4\pi^{*}1.23^{*}498.5} * \left[El \left(\frac{0.26^2}{4^{*}0.036^{*}t} \right) \right] + \frac{30418}{498.5} * R_{\rm b}$$

The following figure presents the result of this calculation. Note: (*t*) was obtained every 60 seconds.



Figure 16: Borehole Thermal Resistance vs. Time (10 to 36 hours) – Test VHE #2

The following table presents a summary of the physical properties of the geologic formations and calculated results for the formation thermal property tests conducted at this site, as well as averages of the presented values.

| Test | t VHE | Active Depth (ft) | Undisturbed Ground Temperature (°F) | Formation Thermal Conductivity (Btu/(hr-ft-°F)) | Volumetric Heat Capacity (Btu/(ft ³ -°F)) | Formation Thermal Diffusivity (ft ² /day) | Borehole Thermal Resistance ((hr-ft-°F)/Btu) | | |
|------|-------|-------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | 1 | 497.5 | 45.9 | 1.25 | 34.1 | 0.88 | 0.304 | | |
| | 2 | 498.5 | 45.9 | 1.23 | 34.1 | 0.87 | 0.330 | | |
| Ave | erage | 498.0 | 45.9 | 1.24 | 34.1 | 0.88 | 0.317 | | |

Table 4: Summary of Physical Data and Formation Thermal Properties

Conclusion

Additional ground heat exchanger development services offered by Braun Intertec include construction budgeting, design consulting, project management and construction testing/observation, and Hydrocommissioning[™] of the constructed heat exchanger. Please contact us to learn more about how our specialized services help ensure cost effective project delivery and long term operational reliability.

Sincerely,

BRAUN INTERTEC GEOTHERMAL, LLC

My S. Browne, Pb

Gregory S. Browne, PG Geological Services Manager

Attachments:

Figure 1 Boring Logs MDH Vertical Heat Exchanger Record Grout Sample Laboratory Reports VHE Installation Observation Photographs

Scott Freitag Principal









| BRAU | IN 16 | 744 11th Street NE | PROJECT NAME: | DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT - NEW PASSENGER TERMINAL 4701 Airport Bood | | LOG OF BORING: TEST VHE 2 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| INTERTE GEOTHERM | EC Ph | one 320.632.1081 x 320.632.1673 | COUNTY: ST. LOUIS REPORT PREPARED BY: GSB PROJECT No: GT-11-02378 | | | DATE: DRILL 6/7/11 - 6/8/11, GROUT 6/9/11 COMPANY/METHOD: SAM'S WELL DRILLING / MUD ROTARY 0 - 48 FT, MINCON MC61 AIR PERCUSSION HAMMER 48 - 500 FT | | | | | | | |
| DEPTH SURF. IN ELEV. FEET 1392 | USCS GRAPHIC | TEMPERATURE (°F) | DES | CRIPTION | WATER LEVELS | REMARKS | 8-3/4" BORE HOLE HOLE BORE HOLE | | | | | | |
| 20 1372 40 1352 60 1332 80 1332 100 1292 120 1272 140 1252 160 1232 | SM SM SM GP GP GP GP GP GP GP GP SM GP SM GP GP SM GP SM GP SM GP SM GP SM GP SM GP SM GP SM SM GP SM SM GP SM SM GP SM SM GP SM SM SM GP SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM SM | GROUND TEMPERATURE NOT MEASURED DUE TO SENSOR ERROR | SILTY SAND, dark brown medium gravel, angular SILTY SAND, dark brown 100 GRAVEL, red/grav, fine to m GRAVEL, gray, fine to m GRAVEL, gray, fine to m GRAVEL, brown/gray 10 grained, subroi GABBRO, dark gray N 3/ | 10YR 3/3, fine grained, with to subrounded, dry 10YR 3/3, very fine grained, (R 3/4, very fine grained, with trace edium grained, trace wood edium grained, rocky YR 3/3, fine to medium unded 0, thin chips of granite, hard 0, thin chips of granite, hard | | CAVE-IN AT 15' SWL=16' (ESTIMATED) DULUTH COMPLEX (MdI) 48' - 100' NO WATER PRODUCTION RATE: 1.3 FT/MIN DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 1.2 FT/MIN DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 1.2 FT/MIN UTTLE WATER 100' - 120' = 1 GPM WATER: 1 GPM DRILLING PRODUCTIO RATE: 2.0 FT/MIN WATER: 160' - 180' AF GPM, NO EVIDENCE C | 6" TEMP. CASING | | | | | | |
| SURFACE ELEVATION: BENCHMARK: NONE TOTAL HOLE DEPTH: 4 | vi: NONE - ES l: 1392 (FT-AN 500 FT 498.5 FT | IIIVIATED FROM GOOGLE EART MSL) | | DRILLING TIME: 6/ 6/{ | 48 7 STAF 8 STAF | 500": 6" RT 09:52 END 18:17 @ 3 RT 07:57 END 11:38 @ 3 | 330' 500' (PAGE 1 OF 3) LOG DATE: 6/20/11 | | | | | | |

| BRAUN 16744 11th Street NE | | | | PROJECT NAME: | DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT - NEW PASSENGER TERMINAL | LOG OF BORING: TEST VHE 2 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------|------------------|---|--|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| INTER Geother | INTERIEC Phone 320.632.1081 GEOTHERMAL Fax 320.632.1673 | | | COUNTY: REPORT PREPARED BY: PROJECT No: | 4701 Airport Road Duluth, MN 55811 ST. LOUIS GSB GT-11-02378 | DATE: DRILL 6/7/11 - 6/8/11, GROUT 6/9/11 COMPANY/METHOD: SAM'S WELL DRILLING / MUD ROTARY 0 - 48 FT, MINCON MC61 AIR PERCUSSION HAMMER 48 - 500 | | | | | | | | |
| DEPTH SURF. IN ELEV. FEET 1392 | uscs | GRAPHIC | TEMPERATURE (°F) | DES | CRIPTION | WATER LEVELS | REMARKS | 6" BORE HOLE | ∫ 1.25" HDPE | | | | | |
| 180 | Mdl | | | GABBRO, dark gray N 3/ | 0, thin chips of granite, hard | | DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 2.0 FT/MIN DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 2.0 FT/MIN | - | SDR 9 | | | | | |
| 200 1192 | Mdl | | | GABBRO, dusky green 5 black 5Y 2/1 matrix, dus | G 3/2 pieces in primarily olive ky green pieces 40% | | DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 2.2 FT/MIN WATER: 10 GPM | | | | | | | |
| 220 - 1172 | Mdl | | | GABBRO, light olive grav 5YR 4/4, medium dark g | y 5Y 6/1, moderate brown gray N 4/0 | | DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 2.2 FT/MIN | PORTLAND CEMENT/ SILICA SAND | | | | | | |
| 240 1152 | Mdl | | | GABBRO, medium dark | gray N 4/0, hard | | DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 1.3 FT/MIN | (1:1) | | | | | | |
| 260 - 1132 | Mdl | | | GABBRO, grayish black l of dark greenish gray, 5 | N 2/0, with minor inclusions GY 4/1 | | DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 1.4 FT/MIN | | | | | | | |
| | Mdl Mdl | | | GABBRO, medium light GABBRO, porphyritic (da inclusions in light colore | gray N 6/0 ark spots) with minor ed micaceous base, softer | | DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 1.8 FT/MIN | | | | | | | |
| 300 - 1092 | Mdl | | | formation 295' - 300' GABBRO, grayish black I | N 2/0 | | DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 1.25 FT/MIN | | | | | | | |
| 320 1072 | | | | 6/7 END OF DAY: WATER BELOW GRADE WITH 330' 6/8 START OF DAY: WATE BELOW GRADE W/ 330' O | LEVEL MEASURED AT 261 FT OPEN HOLE R LEVEL MEASURED AT 16 FT PEN HOLE | | WATER: 8-10 GPM DRILLING PRODUCTION RATE: 1.0 FT/MIN | | | | | | | |
| 340 -1 1052 Image: Construction of the c | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |





Minnesota Department of Health Well Management Section 625 North Robert Street, P.O. Box 64975 St. Paul, Minnesota 55164-0975 651/201-4600 or 800/383-9808 Deaf and hard-of-hearing: TTY 651/201-5797



| Vertical He | at Exchang | er Local | ion | VERTICAL HEAT EXCHANGER RECO | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| County Name S | t. Louis | · | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Township Name | Tow | nship No. | Range No. | Section | No. Fraction | Depth (completed) Date Work Completed | | | | | | | | |
| Hermant | uun 50 | <u> 9N </u> | 15 | 1.1 | Ne: | 4SE 4 SE 14 500 R. 6-10-11 | | | | | | | | |
| House Number, Str | eet Name, City, a | nd ZIP Code | of Vertical H | leat Exchar | iger Location | Hole Diameter Pipe Diameter | | | | | | | | |
| 4701 Gn | inden Da | eive, D | uluth. | MN E | $\begin{array}{c c} \underline{-3t} & \underline{-50} & \underline{-50} & \underline{-500} & $ | | | | | | | | | |
| Show exact location | n of vertical heat | exchanger in | section grid w | Number of Bore Holes Capacity (Tons) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | F | roperty lines, roads nd buildings. | Pipe Material | | | | | | | | |
| w | | I | | | | High Density Polyethylene | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Type of Joint | | | | | | | | |
| | | <u>~</u> | له کیسی میں میں | itarla | ad | | | | | | | | | |
| ∑ | icí | ````_ | H HT | TRUT | eu | Socket Fusion | | | | | | | | |
| PROPERTY OWNE | ER'S NAME | i e | . 1 | | | ☐ Other | | | | | | | | |
| DUNH | AIRPOI | 27 AU | thoris | ¥ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property owner's mailing | ng address if differe | nt than vertical | heat exchanged | f location ind | licated above. | Type of Grout Used (Indicate Draduct Name) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | \Box Cement Sand from O to 500 θ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | $\Box \text{ Neat Cement from } to \qquad ft.$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Bentonite from to ft. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Thermally Enhanced Bentonite from to ft. | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | . | | | - | Other from to ft. | | | | | | | | |
| Geological Materials | Color | Hardne Forma | ss of tion | From | То | Type of Coolant Used (Indicate Product Name) | | | | | | | | |
| Clay /Buside | Rep | Soff | | 0 | 48 | PS Water | | | | | | | | |
| Genute | Gasi | JAAALL | 2 | -193 | 500 | | | | | | | | | |
| Comment | J | THAC | <u> </u> ~- | | <u></u> | Pressure Test of Installed Piping | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - Test Pressure (lbs.) $/00$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Test Duration (minutes) | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | Contractor's Certification | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | This vertical heat exchanger was constructed under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. | | | | | | | | |
| E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E | | | | | | ENVERD TEC TWO 2130 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ↑ Contractor Business Name ↑ License No. | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks, Elevation, | Source of Data, | etc. | | | - Witz | 1 21 | | | | | | | | |
| 12,690 # | cement | 13,6 | 904 5 | AND | ↑ Certified Representative Signature ↑ Certification No. ↑ Date | | | | | | | | | |
| for "Ent | INE Pro | yect. | _ | | Then Richard 6-10-11 | | | | | | | | | |
| (+-) 16 | To start | ic was | HR. | _ | ↑ Name of Driller ↑ Date | | | | | | | | | |
| Tail Banas | constant | at for | e Cand | uctiv | N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| iest doked | COURT | | - | | • | ↑ Name of Heat Pump Installer (HVAC Contractor), if different (Optional) | | | | | | | | |
| Min | inesota Dep | artment o | of Health | Copy | | | | | | | | | | |



BRAUN INTERTEC

Braun Intertec Corporation 11001 Hampshire Avenue S Minneapolis, MN 55438

Phone: 952.995.2000 952.995.2020 Fax: Web: braunintertec.com

Thermal Conductivity of Grouts Comprised of Portland Cement and Aggregate In Accordance with ASTM D 5334

Date: 16 June, 2011 Project Number: GT-11-02378

Client: **Brett Cahoon** Kraus-Anderson Construction Company 3716 Oneota Street Duluth, MN 55807-2827

Project Description: Thermal Conductivity Testing **Duluth IAP** 4701 Grinden Drive Duluth, MN 55811

Batch Data:

Mix Description: Date/Time Sampled: Date/Time Received: Sample Location: Samples Cast By: Type of Sample:

Portland/Silica Sand 1:1 6/10/11 at 4:34 PM 6/15/11 at 12:00 PM Set #2/Test Bore #1 Braun Intertec / G. Browne 5"x5" Plastic Mold

Laboratory Data:

Batch ID:

Date Tested:

Average Thermal Conductivity

6/16/2011 .95 Btu / hr-ft-°F (1.65 W / m-°C)

N/A

Remarks: Sample tested more than once to verify measurement. The sample exceeds the minimum specified thermal conductivity.

Reviewed By:

Wayne Golembeck Concrete Lab Supervisor





Braun Intertec Corporation 11001 Hampshire Avenue S Minneapolis, MN 55438
 Phone:
 952.995.2000

 Fax:
 952.995.2020

 Web:
 braunintertec.com

Thermal Conductivity of Grouts Comprised of Portland Cement and Aggregate In Accordance with ASTM D 5334

Date: 16 June, 2011

Project Number: GT-11-02378

Client: Brett Cahoon Kraus-Anderson Construction Company 3716 Oneota Street Duluth, MN 55807-2827 **Project Description:** Thermal Conductivity Testing Duluth IAP 4701 Grinden Drive Duluth, MN 55811

Batch Data:

Mix Description: Date/Time Sampled: Date/Time Received: Sample Location: Samples Cast By: Type of Sample: Portland/Silica Sand 1:1 6/9/11 at 12:50 PM 6/15/11 at 12:00 PM Set #1/Test Bore #2 Braun Intertec / G. Browne 5"x5" Plastic Mold

Laboratory Data:

Batch ID:

Date Tested:

N/A 6/16/2011

Average Thermal Conductivity

.95 Btu / hr-ft-°F (1.64 W / m-°C)

Remarks: Sample tested more than once to verify measurement. The sample exceeds the minimum specified thermal conductivity.

Reviewed By

Wayne Golembeck Concrete Lab Supervisor

| Form # BIG COC, rev. 0 1/4/11 | Portland/Silica Sand 1:1 | Custody Seal Intact: Yes INO IN/A Hand Delivered by Client | Relinguished by: / / Date/Time: / | CUSTODY Relinquished by: A A Date/Time: 6-/3-/1//730 | CHAIN OF Collected by: (Print) 6/rg/3/0 W/re | | | | | | 61045 ample + 2 6-10-11 1634 | 6104250mple #1 6-9-11 1250 | Lab Client Sample Identification Date Time Batch ID# (IDs must be unique) Sampled Sampled Number Type of Grout | (State) | (method, limit of detection, reporting units) | E-mailigh/owner blauninterter com | R Telephone #: Fax #: | E S City, State, Zip: | OR Mailing Address | F Company: 1/1/1/ Introductorthe/mal | Contact Name 6/24 Brothe Project ID/Name: 01/ 14-14 | Little Falls, MN 56345 | | For Braun Intertec Use Only Laboratory Work Order No. INTERTEC TESTING SER |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Comments: | Contents Verified: | Contents Not Verified: | Received | Received by: Wayne (rate land 1/2 1/ Date/Time: 6-15/17:00/11) | Collector's Signature: M A | | | | | | | | Numt T Co Per | per of Container Thermal Inductivity Treability | Enter an "X" in the boxes below to indicate request.) | Analysis Requested | " I Telephone #: Fax #: | μορ City, State, Zip: | Address: | Contact Name: | $\sim \mathcal{I}AP$ P.O. #Project #: $\mathcal{L}7 - \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{O}2378$ | x. szujasz, itaris Rush/Quote #: | Rush Charges Authorized? Yes | GROUT IMPORTANT Page Important VICES Date Results Requested: $6 - 1b - 1b$ Page Important Time: 12.00 100 Page Important Page Important |





Photo 1 – Drilling setup, Test VHE #2 (east), 06/06/11, view S



Photo 2 – Preparing to drill Test VHE #2 (east), new terminal in background, 06/07/11, view W



Photo 3 – Drill rig setup on Test VHE #2 (east), 06/07/11, view WSW



Photo 4 – Drilling Test VHE #2, water containment silt fence foreground, 06/07/11, view WSW



Photo 5 – Air percussion hammer tooling



Photo 6 – Air percussion drill bit



Photo 7 – Minor drill mud emanating from test VHE #2 drill area, 06/07/11, view W



Photo 8 – Silt fencing containing majority of drill cuttings around Test VHE #2 drill area, 06/07/11, view S



Photo 9 – Drill cuttings from Test VHE #2, 260-foot depth on right, 280-foot depth on left, 06/07/11



Photo 10 – Preparing Day 2 drilling at Test VHE #2, 06/08/11, view N



Photo 11 –Attaching 5-foot long, 1-inch diameter rebar to bottom of loop prior to insertion into Test VHE #2 bore, 06/08/11, view SSE



Photo 12 – Loading U-bend loop into Test VHE #2, 06/08/11, view SSW



Photo 13 – Tremie pipe and loop loaded into Test VHE #2, 06/09/11



Photo 14 – Grouting completed at Test VHE #2, 06/09/11, view ESE



Photo 15 – Setting up at Test VHE #1 (west), 06/09/11, view SW



Photo 16 – Mud drilling top portion of Test VHE #1 (west), 06/09/11, view W

Photo 17 – Preparing to air percussion hammer (installing tooling) at Test VHE #1, 06/09/11, view W

Photo 18 – Silt fencing installed downgradient of Test VHE #1 drill area, 06/10/11, view WSW

Photo 19 – Flowing water through U-bend loop installed in Test VHE #1, prior to grouting, 06/10/11, view NW

Photo 20 – Tremie pipe installed in Test VHE #1 bore, 06/10/11, view NW

Photo 21 – Redi-mix truck at Test VHE #1, preparing to grout, 06/10/11, view E

Photo 22 – Setting up Redi-mix to deliver grout into grout tank for grouting Test VHE #1, 06/10/11, view NNE

Photo 23 – Test VHE #1 installed and grouted, note casing remained in bore, 06/10/11, view NW

SUBSURFACE TEST BORING LOG

| AET JO | OB NO: 07-04216.2 | LOG OF BORING NO. 09-05 (p. 1 of 1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|------|-------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------|----------------|---------|----------|--|--|
| PROJECT: Duluth International Airport Terminal; Duluth, MN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEPTH | SURFACE ELEVATION: | | | | GE | EOLOGY | N | MC | SA | MPLE | REC | FIELI |)&L/ | ABORA | TORY | TESTS | | |
| FEET | MATERIAL | DESCRIPTIO | ON | | | | 11 | me | | I Y PE | IN, | WC | DD | LL | PL | %-#200 | | |
| 1 – | ∧ FILL, slightly organic silt \dark brown | y sand with | i roots, | / | FIL | L | | М | ł | SU | | | | | | | | |
| 2 - | FILL, medium to coarse s | and with g | ravel, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 - | SILTY SAND WITH GR | AVEL, dar | k brown, | - []] | | | 15 | М | M | SS | 15 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | moist, medium dense, trac 2.5' (SM) (may be fill) | e roots abo | ove about | | TIL | L OR L | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | 14 | М | M | SS | 11 | | | | | | | |
| 6 - | SILTY SAND, a little gra | vel, dark b | rown, | | : | | | | R | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | moist, medium dense (SM | [) | | | | | 22 | N4 | Щ | ee | 11 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | 22 | | Д | 00 | | | | ŀ | | | | |
| 9 - | | | | | | | | | Ł | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 - | | | | |] [TH.] | T. | 30 | M | М | SS | 15 | | | | | | | |
| 11- | SH TY SAND WITH GR | AVEL dar | k brown. | | | | | | }} | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | moist, dense (SM) | i i i 1515, uui | | | | | 37 | м | M | SS | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 13 - | | | | | | | | | R | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 - | SILTY SAND, a little gra moist, medium dense (SM | vel, dark b 1) | rown, | | | | 20 | | K | 00 | 17 | | | | | | | |
| 16 - | | | | | : | | 29 | ivi | Д | | 1/ | | | | | | | |
| | Borehole backfilled with a | 6.0 FEE1 auger cutti | ıgs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | **** | | | : | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | ***** | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| DEI | PTH: DRILLING METHOD | | | WAT | ER L | EVEL MEA | ASUR | EMEN | ITS | | | | | NOTE: | REFE | R TO | | |
| 0-1 | 4½' 3.25" HSA | DATE | SAMPI DEPT | LED TH | CASING DEPTH | CAV DE | /E-IN PTH | FL | DRILLI JUID LE | NG EVEL | WATI LEVE | ER EL | THE A | TTAC | HED | | | |
| | | 9/15/09 14:57 1 | | | 0 | 14.5 | 1 | 5.0 | | | | Non | e | SHEETS FOR AN | | | | |
| | 10 | 9/15/09 | 15:03 | 16. | 0 | None | 12 | 2.7 | ļ | | | Non | e | EXPLANATION OF | | | | |
| BORIN COMP | NG PLETED: 9/15/09 | | | | | | | | | | | |]1 | TERMINOLOGY | | | | |
| DR: L | A LG: TDD Rig: 51 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1H | 10 L UI | J | | |

- ALL DIMENSIONS, SIZES, GAUGES, WEIGHTS, OR THICKNESSES SHOWN ARE THE MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED
- HE FEDERAL SPECIFICATIONS SHOWN SHALL BE INTERPRETED TO MEAN THE LATEST ISSUE OR AMENDMENT OF SUCH SPECIFICATION, IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF PLAN APPROVAL FAA SPECIFICATIONS SHOWN ARE FROM THE FEDERAL AVIATION DETAILED HEREON, SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FAA SPECIFICATION LISTED FOR EACH CLASS OF FENCE, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THE CONTRACT PLANS. GATES ARE MEASURED IN UNITS FOR EACH TYPE AND SIZE INSTALLED
- AOA. FENCES BETWEEN TERMINAL BUILDINGS AND APRONS, OR ADJACENT TO SIDEWALKS, SHALL HAVE FABRIC ON THE BUILDING OR SIDEWALK SIDE OF POSTS. ALL OTHER BUILDING AREA FENCES SHALL HAVE FABRIC ON SIDE OF POSTS AWAY FROM BUILDINGS OR INSTALLATION BEING FENCED, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

| | IMPROVING YOUR WORLD |
|---------------------------------|--|
| H | Reynolds, Smith and Hills, Inc. 4525 Airport Approach Rd, Ste A Duluth, Minnesota 55811 218-722-1227 Fax: 218-722-1052 www.rsandh.com |
| | DULUTH AIRPORT AUTHORITY |
| | DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT DULUTH, MN |
| G | NEW PASSENGER TERMINAL VALE PROGRAM <u>consultants</u> |
| | Structural Engineers: MBJ CONSULTING ENG. 501 Lake Avenue South, Suite 300, Duluth MN 55802 TEL: (218) 722-1056 / FAX: (218) 722-9306 M/E/P/FP Engineers: COSENTINI ASSOCIATES INC. 1 South Wacker Drive, 37th Floor, Chicago IL 60606 TEL: (210) 2021 FM2 (2010) 2021 2021 |
| | TEL: (312) 201-7400 / FAX: (312) 201-0031 |
| F | |
| E | |
| | REVISIONS NO. DESCRIPTION DATE |
| | <u>ZZ</u> ADDENDOM #2 00.21.11 |
| D | DATE ISSUED: 06-06-11 REVIEWED BY: MXB DRAWN BY: MB/JH DESIGNED BY: MXB AEP PROJECT NUMBER |
| | 213-1882-110 © 2010 REYNOLDS, SMITH AND HILLS INC. SHEET TITLE ENLARGED THIRD FLOOR |
| C | MECHANICAL PIPING PLAN - AREA A SHEET NUMBER |
| ARGED THIRD LEVEL PLAN - AREA A | VALE PROGRAM BID PACKAGE |