Addendum 1  
Bid 22-99366  
Engineering Services for Raleigh Street Reconstruction

This addendum serves to notify all bidders of the following changes to the solicitation documents:

The following questions asked are answered in *italics*.

1. Has sanitary sewer been televised?

_The sanitary sewer manholes have been inspected and are brick structures in generally decent shape. A camera was lowered to look up the pipes under the road and no issues were noted. All sanitary sewers in this area run north-south in the alleys and aren’t anticipated to be replaced as part of this project._

2. It appears there is no sanitary sewer work as a part of this project. Is this correct?

_Correct, the sanitary sewer system generally runs north-south in the alleys through the project. The manholes are typically outside of the Raleigh St ROW, but were inspected and found to be brick in generally decent shape. No issues were noted with the pipes within the ROW._

3. The project does not appear in the STIP, what is the project budget?

_The project is not in the STIP as no federal funds will be used for the project._

4. RFP title page refers to state project number indicating federal funding. Is it truly federally funded or is it just state aid funded (SAP)?

_The project is not federally funded, it will be funded with MSAS funds and water utility funds._

5. What is the intent of traffic control during construction? Detour or construct under traffic?

_We anticipate that the project will be able to close Raleigh St and detour the traffic, however we will need to maintain access for residents._

6. Is street lighting included?
Existing street lights are on the overhead power poles along the south side of the road, we don’t anticipate any work being done on those poles including with the street lights.

7. Utility relocations anticipated?

We have not begun any discussion with the utilities yet but don’t expect the project to require any relocations.

8. Are there gas main upgrades?

The gas is not included in this project and currently runs along the south side of the road.

9. At the avenue crossings, how far north and south of Raleigh are the construction limits? Up to Raleigh ROW?

We anticipate that the limits will be somewhere near the ROW line unless there are issues with drainage that require a little more to make the match work.

10. Assume if any ROW acquisition is required this is out of scope and would be handled through an amendment. Is this correct? Do not anticipate needing any additional ROW.

Correct. We don’t anticipate any ROW acquisition either.

11. If the BNSF abandons their tracks do they retain ownership of the ROW? Should we be planning on putting the water main in a casing where it crosses the BNSF tracks even if they are abandoned?

BNSF will maintain their interest in their ROW. The water main will be required to comply with, and obtain, a utility crossing permit which will include a requirement for casing. BNSF will also require a construction and maintenance agreement related to the surface improvements.

12. Project Memo – Is this just a memo to the City regarding design recommendations? Assume a CATEX is not required?

The reference to the project memo was inadvertently left in despite no federal funds being used on the project. It can be removed from the general project scope.

13. Required environmental letters – What is required here? Do you have any additional information on what is needed here and what letters are required? Is an Environmental Assessment required?

The reference to the environmental letters was also inadvertently left in, no environmental assessment is required. The environmental letters can also be removed from the general project scope.
14. Is the City interested in performing a Phase 1 assessment due to the industrial activity in the area?

We’ve looked at the MPCA website and reviewed old aerials, and it appears that this portion of the neighborhood did not have industrial activity. We plan to just handle contaminated soils as they are encountered during construction unless something becomes apparent during design.

Please acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by including a copy of it with your proposal. The pages included will not count toward any page limitation, if any, identified in the RFP.
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