

DULUTH PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Tuesday, October 20, 2015

City Council Chambers

AGENDA

Optional: Stormwater tour for DPUC members @ 3:00 PM (meet in City Hall 211B)

1. Roll call
2. Approval of previous meeting minutes
3. Old business:
  - 3.1 Use of County tax-forfeit parcels for stormwater pollution remediation - discussion only (Sellner)
4. New business:
  - 4.1 15PUC-007 - RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING FEES FOR VARIOUS UTILITY-RELATED SERVICES. (water and sewer connection fees removed, no other changes)
  - 4.2 15PUC-008 - RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING USER CHARGES FOR THE CITY'S STORMWATER UTILITY EFFECTIVE AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016; SUPERSEDING ALL PRIOR INCONSISTENT OR CONFLICTING RATES. - discussion only
  - 4.3 15PUC-009 - RESOLUTION REDUCING CLEAN WATER SURCHARGE EFFECTIVE AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016; SUPERSEDING ALL PRIOR INCONSISTENT OR CONFLICTING RATES. - discussion only
  - 4.4 Establish public hearing dates
  - 4.5 Utility appeal procedures - general and cold weather
5. Updates from staff
6. Upcoming Council actions
7. Commissioner questions or comments
8. Preview of upcoming business

**DULUTH PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**  
**Meeting Minutes**  
**September 15, 2015**

**Members Present:** Councilor Zack Filipovich, Councilor Jennifer Julsrud, Rob Prusak, Jim Ramnes arrived at 5:23 p.m., Linda Sellner, Councilor Joel Sipress

**Members Absent:** Jason Thorsell

**Staff Present:** Bob Asleson, Jim Benning, Leanna Gilbert, Howard Jacobson, Eric Shaffer, Glenn Strid

**Call to Order:** The meeting was called to order at 5:15 p.m. by President Prusak.

**Approval of previous meeting minutes**

**New business:**

The agenda was amended to move the budget discussion and resolution to the top, since two of the councilors had to leave at certain times.

**Budget discussion**

Glenn Strid gave an overview of the proposed 2016 utility budgets and answered questions from commissioners. There was some discussion about the clean water surcharge sunset and whether there would be adequate funds to pay off the bonds. The calculation was done a few years ago and should be reviewed again in five or six years. Commissioners and staff discussed the projected 2016 year end cash balance for the water fund, upcoming water capital projects, and whether a rate increase is necessary. They also discussed the need to either increase stormwater revenues or reduce expenses by approximately \$540,000 in 2016. Eric Shaffer provided a list of stormwater capital projects that should be done in the next ten to fifteen years and recommended about \$2M in capital projects per year. Jim Benning will mention the state of the stormwater fund when he presents the utility budgets to City Council.

**15PUC-006 - RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RECOMMENDING 2016 UTILITY BUDGET.**

Commissioner Julsrud motioned to approve 15PUC-006, and the resolution was approved unanimously.

Commissioner Sipress requested that the fee schedule and an update on 4<sup>th</sup> Street be next on the agenda, since he needed to leave soon.

**2016 fee schedule**

Jim Benning explained that the water and sewer connection fees listed on the agenda should not have been given to the DPUC. They are approved by the SAB and recommended to Council. These will not be included in the 2016 fee resolution. Staff is not recommending any changes in fees at this time. Liz Bieter is researching a payment plan for the Comfort Policy.

Commissioner Julsrud left at this time.

**Update on 4<sup>th</sup> Street**

Eric Shaffer reported the 4<sup>th</sup> Street project is progressing slowly. The street between 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> and between 13<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> up to the Burrito Union will hopefully be paved this week. They are waiting for one part, and then they can put the Burrito Union on a new service and get those two blocks paved and

open. They have started to lay pipe up the next blocks. They should finish this year hopefully. Commissioner Sipress asked whether there would be more water shutoffs. Eric Shaffer answered that there would be a short shutoff to do the connection to the new service.

Commissioner Sipress left at this time.

#### **Report on Annual Stormwater Public Meeting**

Commissioner Sellner reported that about ten citizens attended the Annual Stormwater Public Meeting that was held on June 30<sup>th</sup> in addition to representatives of the Regional Stormwater Protection Team. The representatives talked about what they were doing for stormwater management. Comments were made about the stormwater pond at the Hermantown Walmart, road salt usage and storage, and trees on 4<sup>th</sup> Street.

#### **Use of County tax-forfeit parcels for stormwater pollution remediation**

Commissioner Sellner requested that this topic be postponed until October when more commissioners would be available for the discussion.

#### **Stormwater utility discussion**

This topic was discussed during the budget discussion, and there were no further comments.

#### **DPUC OneDrive (SkyDrive)**

The Commission OneDrive account contains information from the DPUC binders as well as meeting minutes and meeting packets. No one appears to be using it and the information is available elsewhere. Staff received permission to delete this account.

#### **Updates from staff**

Eric Shaffer reported that the gas and water project on 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue West and 4<sup>th</sup> Street is moving along slowly. The blanket project is wrapping up, although there are still some gas services to put in. Construction of Lift Station 8 has not started yet. Traffic control has been set up and some miscellaneous work has been done. Construction will begin soon. There was a gas outage today resulting from the uprating project northeast of UMD. A valve was accidentally closed and 440 buildings were out of gas. The gas is back on and Service is working on relighting those buildings.

#### **Upcoming Council actions**

Jim Benning mentioned that commissioners are welcome to attend the budget presentation on November 9<sup>th</sup> at 5:15 p.m.

#### **Commissioner questions or comments**

There were no further questions or comments.

#### **Preview of upcoming business**

The next regular meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, October 20, 2015, at 5:15 p.m. in City Council Chambers. The Commission will vote on 2016 fees and discuss stormwater pollution remediation. The Commission will continue to discuss the water and stormwater funds.

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 6:39 p.m.

Application for State Deed for Tax-Forfeited Land

In \_\_\_\_\_ County

Type of Acquisition

- Checkboxes for Purchase, Conditional Use Deed, School Forest Deed, Remove Blight/Afford. Housing, Failure to convey to city or association, Acquisition Authorized by other statute or Special Law, Conservation-related usage, Replacement for Lost/Destroyed Deed, Repurchase, and Release (State Agencies only).

Correction

Is this application intended to correct a previous deed? Yes (Complete this section) No (Skip this section)
State deed number of original deed:
Information being corrected: Grantee's name Legal description Other:
Was this state deed recorded? Yes No
Recording Date: Recording Number:
(If the state deed was not recorded, please return the original state deed with this application.)

Applicant (Grantee)

Name(s) of applicant(s)
Address of applicant Phone Number of applicant
City State Zip Code

Property Information

Ownership Please check the appropriate box below:
Single ownership Co-ownership: joint tenancy Co-ownership: tenancy in common Co-ownership: other
Date of auditor's certificate of forfeiture Date tax-forfeited land was sold (if applicable)
Date purchase price was paid in full (if applicable) Purchase price (if applicable)
Legal description of property: (Please email longer legals to: state.deeds.mdor@state.mn.us) All or part of the described real property is Registered (Torrens)

Wells Please check the appropriate box below:
There are one or more wells on this property. There are no wells on this property. No change since last well certificate.
(If wells disclosure certificate has been electronically filed, please list WDC number:)

Wetland Certification
The wetland certification form has been completed and attached to this application.

Replacement

Complete this section only if "Replacement for Lost/Destroyed Deed" is selected above as the type of acquisition.
The applicant is (check the appropriate box): the grantee named in the original deed the grantee's successor
If the applicant is the grantee's successor, please state the name of the grantee in the original deed (otherwise leave blank):
Date that unrecorded state deed was issued State Deed Number (if known)
Attach a statement of reasons in support of allegation that said deed has been lost or destroyed before it was recorded (please be specific).

**Certifications**

All applications require the signature of the county auditor below. Applications for Replacement Deeds will also require the additional signature of the applicant as well.

Please provide the information below as it corresponds to the type of acquisition selected on the front of the form.

<b>Blight/Affordable Housing</b>	<p>Auditor to complete this section only if "Remove Blight/Afford. Housing" is selected above as the type of acquisition.</p> <p>The County Board, or its delegate, of _____ County, Minnesota, has reviewed the application of _____ dated _____, 20____, for the conveyance of certain tax-forfeited land described therein. The county board has determined that: (1) a sale at a reduced price is in the public interest because a reduced price is necessary to provide an incentive to correct the blighted conditions that make the lands undesirable in the open market or the reduced price will lead to the development of affordable housing; and (2) the governmental subdivision or state agency has documented its specific plans for correcting the blighted conditions or developing affordable housing and the specific law or laws that empower it to acquire real property in furtherance of the plans.</p>
----------------------------------	--

<b>Conservation</b>	<p>Auditor to complete this section only if "Conservation-related usage" is selected above as the type of acquisition.</p> <p>The County Board, or its delegate, of _____ County, Minnesota, has reviewed the application of _____ dated _____, 20____, for the conveyance of certain tax-forfeited land described therein. The county board has determined that a sale at a reduced price is in the public interest for (select one):</p> <p>___ (1) creation or preservation of wetlands; or</p> <p>___ (2) drainage or storage of storm water under a storm water management plan; or</p> <p>___ (3) preservation, or restoration and preservation, of the land in its natural state.</p>
---------------------	--

<b>Failure to Convey</b>	<p>Auditor to complete this section only if "Failure to convey to city or association" is selected above as the type of acquisition.</p> <p>The County Board, or its delegate, of _____ County, Minnesota, has reviewed the application of _____, a (check one) <input type="checkbox"/> governmental subdivision / <input type="checkbox"/> common interest community, dated _____, 20____, for the free conveyance, due to a prior failure to convey which was duly certified, of certain tax-forfeited land described therein, and recommends that this application be granted.</p>
--------------------------	--

<b>Use Deed</b>	<p>Auditor to complete this section only if "Conditional Use Deed" is selected above as the type of acquisition.</p> <p>The County Board, or its delegate, of _____ County, Minnesota, has reviewed the application of _____ dated _____, 20____, for the conveyance for an authorized public use of certain tax-forfeited land described therein, and recommends that this application be granted.</p>
-----------------	---

<b>School Forest</b>	<p>Auditor to complete this section only if "School Forest Deed" is selected above as the type of acquisition.</p> <p>The County Board, or its delegate, of _____ County, Minnesota, and the Commissioner of Natural Resources, has reviewed the application of _____ dated _____, 20____ for the conveyance for a school forest of certain tax-forfeited land described therein, and recommends that this application be granted.</p>
----------------------	--

<b>Replacement</b>	<p>Auditor to complete this section only if "Replacement for Lost/Destroyed Deed" is selected above as the type of acquisition.</p> <p>The property described herein was duly bid in for the state for taxes payable in the year _____, delinquent _____; and became forfeited to the State of Minnesota on the _____ day of _____, _____.</p>
--------------------	--

<b>Sign Here</b>	<p>I certify that the above information is true and correct, the county board has taken the official action required under Minn. Stat. § 270C.88, and that therefore conveyance by state deed of the real property described above should be executed by the Commissioner of Revenue to the above named applicant(s) as required by Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 282.</p> <p>Signature of county auditor _____ County _____</p> <p>Date of signature of county auditor _____</p> <p>Applicant signature (Replacement Deed only) _____</p> <p>Date of applicant signature (Replacement Deed only) _____</p>
------------------	---

(seal)

**RESOLUTION NO. 15PUC-007**

**RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING FEES FOR VARIOUS  
UTILITY-RELATED SERVICES.**

RESOLVED by the Duluth Public Utilities Commission (the “Commission”) that, pursuant to the authority contained in Section 2-186 (c) of the Duluth City Code, 1959, as amended, the Commission hereby establishes fees for the services described in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof (the “Services”) in the amounts as set forth in said Exhibit A, said fees to be effective for services provided after January 1, 2016.

RESOLVED FURTHER, that to the extent that any fee approved by an earlier resolution has been amended by any later resolution, the prior inconsistent or conflicting fee shall be deemed to have been superseded.

Approved by the DPUC: \_\_\_\_\_  
(date)

Submitted to City Council: \_\_\_\_\_  
(where appropriate) (date)

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director  
Public Works and Utilities  
City of Duluth

**STATEMENT OF PURPOSE:** The purpose of this resolution is for the DPUC to set fees for various services provided by the City in conjunction with the provision of utility services as authorized by Section 2-186 (c) of the City code.



<b>Fees Set by DPUC</b>	<b>2015 Fee</b>	<b>2016 Fee</b>
FOG Program - Failure to Maintain Records - per day	\$100.00	\$100.00
FOG Program - Noncompliance - Maximum Penalty - per month	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00
Gas Furnace Comfort Policy Fee - DCC - annual	\$190.00	\$190.00
Gas Furnace Comfort Policy Fee - Electronic Ignition - Annual	\$178.00	\$178.00
Gas Furnace Comfort Policy Fee - Standing Pilot - Annual	\$166.00	\$166.00
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Midnight to 8:00 a.m. - 1st hour OR No show fee	\$165.00	\$165.00
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Midnight to 8:00 a.m. - Each additional 15 minutes	\$41.25	\$41.25
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekdays - 4:30 p.m. to Midnight - 1st 1/2 hour OR no show fee	\$110.00	\$110.00
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekdays - 4:30 p.m. to Midnight - Each additional 15 minutes	\$27.50	\$27.50
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekdays - 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. - 1st 1/2 hour OR no show fee	\$55.00	\$55.00
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekdays - 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. - Each additional 15 minutes	\$27.50	\$27.50
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekends and Holidays - 4:00 p.m. to Midnight - 1st 1/2 hour OR No Show Fee	\$110.00	\$110.00
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekends and Holidays - 4:00 p.m. to Midnight - Each additional 15 minutes	\$27.50	\$27.50
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekends and Holidays - 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. - 1st 1/2 hour OR No Show Fee	\$110.00	\$110.00
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekends and Holidays - 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. - Each additional 15 minutes	\$27.50	\$27.50
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekends and Holidays - Midnight to 8:00 a.m. - 1st 1/2 hour OR no show fee	\$165.00	\$165.00
Gas Furnace/Appliance Service - Weekends and Holidays - Midnight to 8:00 a.m. - Each additional 15 minutes	\$41.25	\$41.25
I&I Noninspection/noncompliance Surcharge - per month	\$250.00	\$250.00
Point of Sale - Inspection Fee - Sump Pump Already in Place or Not Required	\$105.00	\$105.00
Point of Sale - Inspection Fee - Sump Pump Installation Required	\$205.00	\$205.00
Point of Sale - Noncompliance surcharge - per month	\$250.00	\$250.00
Violations/Noncompliance/Credit Service Charge - Dispatch of Service Vehicle	\$55.00	\$55.00
Violations/Noncompliance/Credit Service Charge - Restoration of Service - Weekdays between 12:00 a.m.-8:00 a.m.	\$160.00	\$160.00
Violations/Noncompliance/Credit Service Charge - Restoration of Service - Weekdays between 4:30 p.m.-12:00 a.m.	\$80.00	\$80.00
Violations/Noncompliance/Credit Service Charge - Restoration of Service - Weekdays between 8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.	\$55.00	\$55.00
Violations/Noncompliance/Credit Service Charge - Restoration of Service - Weekends and Holidays	\$160.00	\$160.00
Water and Gas - Shut Off or Turn On - Minimum Charge	\$55.00	\$55.00
Water and Gas Service Construction Permit - Special inspection fee - minimum	\$25.00	\$25.00
Water Hydrant, Use of	\$210.00	\$210.00
Water Service - Tapping Fee (1" or less)	\$385.00	\$385.00
Water Service - Thawing - Equipment Fee - per water service	\$60.00	\$60.00
Water Service - Thawing - Minimum Fee - per water service	\$525.00	\$525.00

**RESOLUTION NO. 15PUC-008**

**RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING USER CHARGES FOR  
THE CITY'S STORMWATER UTILITY EFFECTIVE AS  
OF JANUARY 1, 2016; SUPERSEDING ALL PRIOR  
INCONSISTENT OR CONFLICTING RATES.**

RESOLVED by the Duluth Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission") that the Commission hereby makes the following Findings of Fact:

- 1.) The City has historically incurred capital costs, debt service costs, operating and maintenance costs for the creation and maintenance of a stormwater utility system which benefits all properties and property owners in the City and continues to incur these costs on an ongoing basis.
- 2.) Pursuant to Chapter 43 of the Code, the City has established a stormwater utility for the purpose of constructing, operating and maintaining the City's storm sewer system and has provided for a stormwater utility charge to fund the expenses thereof.
- 3.) Although the costs of constructing, operating and maintaining the City's stormwater utility system have continued to increase every year, the current stormwater utility fee was established as of January 1, 2008, and has not been increased since that time to reflect the increasing costs incurred by the City.
- 4.) It is necessary and reasonable to increase the stormwater utility fees as provided for below to fund the increased costs to the City's stormwater utility.

RESOLVED FURTHER, that pursuant to Section 43-66 of the Duluth City Code, 1959, as amended, for the purpose of increasing the revenue of the stormwater utility, there is established a user charge as provided in said ordinance effective January 1, 2016. The user charge rate shall be based upon equivalent residential units (ERU = 1,708 impervious square feet) per month, as follows:

<b>Rate Class</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Effective January 1, 2008</b>	<b>Effective January 1, 2016</b>
ERU - Commercial/Industrial	1 <sup>st</sup> ERU	\$6.08	\$6.75
	> 1 ERU	\$6.08	\$6.75
ERU-R - Residential, Single Family Home	1st ERU	\$6.08	\$6.75
	> 1 ERU	\$6.08	\$6.75
ERU-R - Residential, Duplex and Triplex	1st ERU	\$6.08	\$6.75
	> 1 ERU	\$5.33	\$6.00
ERU-A - Multi-Family, 1-4 Floors	1st ERU	\$3.9480	\$4.35
	> 1 ERU	\$3.1980	\$3.60
ERU-B - Multi-Family, 5-9 Floors	1st ERU	\$3.6815	\$4.05
	> 1 ERU	\$2.9315	\$3.30



ERU-C - Multi-Family 10+ Floors	1st ERU	\$3.4150	\$3.75
	> 1 ERU	\$2.6650	\$3.00

RESOLVED FURTHER, that any prior rates inconsistent or conflicting with the rates set forth herein are hereby superseded.

Approved by the DPUC: \_\_\_\_\_  
(date)

Submitted to City Council: \_\_\_\_\_  
(where appropriate) (date)

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director  
Public Works and Utilities  
City of Duluth

**STATEMENT OF PURPOSE:**

The purpose of this resolution is to authorize an increase in the monthly stormwater utility rates effective January 1, 2016.

The City has not increased the stormwater utility fee since January 1, 2008. This rate increase of approximately 11% is necessary to fund increased capital, maintenance and operating costs. The proposed increase for the average homeowner will be \$8.04 per year.

**RESOLUTION NO. 15PUC-009**

**RESOLUTION REDUCING CLEAN WATER SURCHARGE  
EFFECTIVE AS OF JANUARY 1, 2016; SUPERSEDING  
ALL PRIOR INCONSISTENT OR CONFLICTING RATES.**

WHEREAS, pursuant to Ordinance No. 9982 and the authority of Section 43-11.1 of the Duluth City Code, 1959, the Duluth city council established a monthly clean water surcharge in the amount of \$5.57 on each customer and user of the City's wastewater system; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Ordinance No. 10024, the City Council amended said section 43-33.1 to confer on the Duluth Public Utilities Commission the authority to impose such clean water surcharges upon such customers and users; and

WHEREAS, said Commission has determined that a clean water surcharge in the amount of \$4.90 will be sufficient to pay amounts needed for debt service, grants and operating and maintenance related to the system.

RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 43-11.1 of the Duluth City Code, 1959, as amended, effective January 1, 2016, the clean water surcharge authorized thereunder will be reduced to \$4.90 per month for consumers and users.

RESOLVED FURTHER, that any prior rates inconsistent or conflicting with the rates set forth herein are hereby superseded.

Approved by the DPUC: \_\_\_\_\_  
(date)

Submitted to City Council: \_\_\_\_\_  
(where appropriate) (date)


ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director  
Public Works and Utilities  
City of Duluth

**STATEMENT OF PURPOSE:**

The purpose of this resolution is to authorize a decrease in the amount of the clean water

surcharge established in 2009 from its present level of \$5.57 per month to \$4.90 per month for each consumer and user of the City's system. This amount has been determined to meet the funding needs of the City for operating and maintaining the system as well as for debt service and for following through on grant commitments.

<b>PROCEDURE: Utility Appeal Process - General</b>		<b>Page 1 of 1</b>
	<b>Duluth Public Utilities Commission</b>	<b>Approved by DPUC:</b>
	<b>Effective Date:</b>	<b>Written by: Liz Bieter</b>

**PURPOSE:**

The purpose of this procedure is to outline the appeals process before the Duluth Public Utilities Commission (the Commission).

**PROCEDURE:**

An applicant who desires to dispute a **Public Works & Utilities** Department finding that is adverse to the applicant and is an appealable finding must do so within six (6) months of the occurrence that gives rise to the appeal. The applicant shall give written notice of the appeal on a form provided by the Department.

The appeal shall state the nature of the dispute and the basis for the appeal. The applicant shall also state the expected outcome of the appeal. **Appeals submitted without a clear statement of the dispute will be returned to the applicant. The applicant will have 10 days to resubmit the appeal with the appropriate explanation.**

The written notice should be mailed **or hand delivered** to the department director ~~and the City Clerk's Office~~. The applicant will be notified by regular mail **or in person** of the date, time and location of the Commission's meeting.

Any appeal involving a termination of services must be filed prior to the proposed date of termination to avoid action by the Department.

City staff will prepare a facts and findings report and present this report to the Commission prior to the meeting. The Director of Public Works & Utilities shall provide all information from the applicant to the Commission.

Appeals shall be heard at the first meeting of the Commission following the filing of an appeal, if there are at least five (5) business days, exclusive, between the written filing and the meeting. Otherwise, the appeal will be heard at the subsequent meeting.

**The Commission has no power to cancel a debt to the Department.**

All decisions of the Commission shall be binding on the Department and the applicant, unless contrary to law. Decisions shall be in written form and sent to both the Department and the applicant **within 10 days of the decision.**

**Definition of an applicant: Any person or persons applying for water or gas service from the Department and any guarantor of payment for such services as provide for in this chapter (Section 48-1 Duluth City Code).**



**City of Duluth Public ~~Works and~~ Utilities Commission**  
**Utility Appeal Form**

Please provide all the information listed below and return form to Director, Duluth Public Works and Utilities Department, 211B City Hall, 411 West First Street, Duluth, MN 55802 ~~with a copy to the Duluth City Clerk's Office, 330 City Hall.~~

Name of person filing this appeal must match the name on the utility account.

Name	_____	Account #	_____
Service Address	_____	Mailing Address	_____
	_____		_____
Daytime Phone	_____	Alternate Phone	_____
E-mail (optional)	_____		

Description of dispute or issue (attach additional sheets and any documentation, if needed):

Description of any action you are requesting or expected outcome of appeal:

I certify that the information I have given is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature) (Print Name) (Date)

**Office Use Only- Date form received by Director's Office** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Hearing date and time** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Received by** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Appeal results: Accepted** \_\_\_ **Denied** \_\_\_

**COLD WEATHER RULE PAYMENT PLAN APPEALS**

If customer’s services are already terminated or if they are facing termination between October 15 and April 15, the Cold Weather Rule (CWR) applies. The utilities must not terminate services and/or must restore services during this period if the following conditions are met (a/k/a Inability to Pay (ITP)):

- 1) The household income is at or below 50% of the state median household income, set forth below (2015-2016 guidelines):

<b>Household Size</b>	<b>Annual</b>	<b>3-Month</b>
1	\$23,949	\$5,987
2	\$31,318	\$7,829
3	\$38,687	\$9,671
4	\$46,056	\$11,514
5	\$53,424	\$13,356
6	\$60,793	\$15,198
7	\$62,175	\$15,543
8	\$63,557	\$15,889
9	\$64,938	\$16,234
10	\$66,320	\$16,580

A customer who receives energy assistance benefits under any federal, state, or county government programs in which eligibility is defined as household income at or below 50 percent of state median income is deemed to be automatically eligible for protection under this section and no other verification of income may be required.

- 2) The customer enters into and makes reasonably timely payments under a payment agreement that considers the financial resources of the household. “Reasonably timely payment” is defined by MN Statutes as payment within five working days of the agreed upon due dates.

If the customer qualifies for ITP, they are not required to pay more than 10% of his/her monthly household income.

If the payment plan is broken the utility is not required to offer additional arrangements.

- 3) The customer receives referrals to energy assistance, weatherization or other programs likely to reduce the customer’s heating bills.

Customers who do not qualify for ITP are entitled to a payment plan that considers the customer’s financial circumstances and any other extenuating circumstances of the household.

Both the ITP customer and those customers who do not qualify for ITP have the right to appeal if the utility and the customer cannot arrive at a mutually acceptable payment arrangements. Due to the fact that utility bills can increase quickly in cold weather, there is a need for the appeals process to be expeditious in order to get a payment arrangement in place.

We propose that the appeal hearing be heard by three (3) members of the Duluth Public Utilities Commission. We further propose that the following guidelines be used for Cold Weather Rule Appeals Hearings only:

- 1) The customer must appeal the disconnection by submitting a written notice of appeal prior to the disconnection date. If a customer has already been terminated prior to October 15 and wishes to appeal, the utility must reconnect the services pending the appeal.
- 2) The utilities will not be disconnected while the appeal is pending.
- 3) The DPUC shall hold a hearing no sooner than ten (10) days after receipt of the notice of appeal. The customer will be notified of the date, time and place of the hearing at least seven (7) days prior to the hearing.
- 4) The customer shall submit a statement of position prior to the hearing that explains their position, including, but not limited to (a) an explanation of the customer's inability to meet the payment arrangements proposed by the utility; (b) verification of customer's income; and (c) specific payment arrangements proposed by the customer.
- 5) The utility shall submit a statement of position specifying the proposed payment arrangements that are subject to the appeal and the reasons therefore.
- 6) The DPUC will either decide the appeal at the time of the hearing or within 48 hours following the hearing. The decision may (a) deny customer's appeal, (b) accept the customer's appeal and customer's proposed payment plan; or (c) come to an intermediate plan. The decision will be set forth in writing and served upon the customer and the utility via US Mail.
- 7) If the appeal is denied, the utility must provide seven (7) days notice prior to termination.





2626 Courtland Street  
Duluth, MN 55806-1894  
phone 218.722.3336  
fax 218.727.7471  
www.wlssd.com



## Western Lake Superior Sanitary District

September 30, 2015

City of Duluth  
Mr. Jim Benning  
Director of Public Works  
207 City Hall  
Duluth, MN 55802

RE: 2016 Budget Western Lake Superior Sanitary District (WLSSD)

Dear Mr. Benning:

The Board of Directors of the Western Lake Superior Sanitary District approved its 2016 budget at the September 28, 2015 board meeting. The Board approved a 1.1% change in wastewater operating costs and annual debt service collected. The 2016 budgeted wastewater treatment charges for the City of Duluth are shown below:

Total Annual Charges	\$ 8,656,757
Total Monthly Charge	\$ 721,396

This amount represents an increase from 2015 of \$47,221 or 0.55%.

Please note that any year-end adjustment relating to 2015 wastewater charges will be calculated in January 2016 and sent to you under a separate letter.

The District-wide allocation remains unchanged at \$355,000 for 2016. The 2016 District-wide allocation for the City of Duluth is \$216,697. This amount may be paid in two equal installments. The first is due on or before July 1, 2016, and the second on or before December 1, 2016.

If you have any questions on this information please call my office at 218-740-4805.

Sincerely,

Marianne Bohren  
Executive Director

9/22/2015

WLSSD 2016 BUDGETED FLOWS AND LOADINGS  
FLOW (MGD)

	ACTUAL 2014	BUDGET 2015	AVG THROUGH August-15	BUDGET 2016
DULUTH	13.813	13.750	11.535	13.250
CLOQUET	1.234	1.200	0.953	1.200
HERMANTOWN	0.666	0.700	0.600	0.700
PROCTOR	0.484	0.500	0.405	0.500
ESKO (Includes Helb/Lars)	0.1887	0.2031	0.168	0.2031
SCANLON	0.158	0.140	0.135	0.150
CARLTON	0.181	0.200	0.143	0.200
THOMSON (City only)	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
TWIN LAKES	0.079	0.072	0.078	0.075
RICE LAKE	0.055	0.060	0.051	0.055
OLIVER	0.021	0.022	0.019	0.022
PIKE LAKE	0.090	0.100	0.080	0.100
KNIFE RIVER	0.024	0.022	0.022	0.022
MPCA LANDFILL	0.024	0.030	0.019	0.025
WRENSHALL	0.032	0.030	0.022	0.030
JAY COOKE	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.003
BUFFALO/MIDWAY	0.0028	0.0020	0.003	0.0020
DULUTH/NORTH SHOI	0.055	0.060	0.043	0.060
MUNICIPAL SUBTOTA	17.1197	17.104	14.288	16.607
SAPPI	15.576	15.000	15.975	15.500
USG	0.435	0.400	0.387	0.400
VERSO DULUTH MILL	4.682	4.600	4.477	4.600
SPECIALTY MINERALS	0.228	0.200	0.197	0.210
INDUSTRIAL SUBTOT/	20.9214	20.200	21.036	20.710
TOTAL FLOW	38.041	37.304	35.323	37.317

9/22/2015

WLSSD 2016 BUDGETED FLOWS AND LOADINGS  
BOD (LBS/DAY)

	ACTUAL 2014	BUDGET 2015	AVG THROUGH August-15	BUDGET 2016
DULUTH	12,748	12,750	13,786	12,750
CLOQUET	1,800	1,801	1,304	1,641
HERMANTOWN	1,134	1,250	1,123	1,150
PROCTOR	433	550	485	500
ESKO (Includes Helb/Lars)	250	250	250	250
SCANLON	264	234	224	250
CARLTON	130	130	130	130
THOMSON (City only)	17	17	16	17
TWIN LAKES	244	222	240	231
RICE LAKE	92	100	84	92
OLIVER	35	37	32	37
PIKE LAKE	150	167	133	167
KNIFE RIVER	40	37	37	37
MPCA LANDFILL	40	50	32	42
WRENSHALL	54	50	37	50
JAY COOKE	4	5	4	5
BUFFALO/MIDWAY	6	5	8	5
DULUTH/NORTH SHOIB	92	100	72	100
MUNICIPAL SUBTOTAL	17,533	17,754	17,997	17,453
SAPPI	42,628	40,000	48,524	42,000
USG	1,075	1,000	1,297	1,100
VERSO DULUTH MILL	24,768	23,000	24,166	23,500
SPECIALTY MINERALS	6	6	5	6
INDUSTRIAL SUBTOTAL	68,477	64,006	73,992	66,606
TOTAL BOD	86,010	81,760	91,989	84,059

9/22/2015

WLSSD 2016 BUDGETED FLOWS AND LOADINGS  
SUSPENDED SOLIDS (LBS/DAY)

	ACTUAL 2014	BUDGET 2015	AVG THROUGH August-15	BUDGET 2016
DULUTH	16,949	16,822	16,959	16,822
CLOQUET	2,800	2,802	2,242	2,822
HERMANTOWN	1,133	1,200	1,080	1,100
PROCTOR	523	600	570	550
ESKO (Includes Helb/Lars)	300	300	300	300
SCANLON	284	234	224	250
CARLTON	160	160	160	160
THOMSON (City only)	17	17	16	17
TWIN LAKES	244	222	240	231
RICE LAKE	92	100	84	92
OLIVER	35	37	32	37
PIKE LAKE	150	167	133	167
KNIFE RIVER	40	37	37	37
MPCA LANDFILL	40	50	32	42
WRENSHALL	54	50	37	50
JAY COOKE	4	5	4	5
BUFFALO/MIDWAY	5	3	6	3
DULUTH/NORTH SHOI	92	100	72	100
MUNICIPAL SUBTOTA	22,902	22,905	22,228	22,785
SAPPI	25,434	22,000	24,096	21,000
USG	757	650	427	650
VERSO DULUTH MILL	1,464	1,300	2,963	1,350
SPECIALTY MINERALS	1,102	570	1,600	800
INDUSTRIAL SUBTOT/	28,757	24,520	29,086	23,800
TOTAL DISTRICT	51,659	47,425	51,314	46,585

**2016 Budget  
Wastewater Unit Costs**

<u>VOLUME</u>	BUDGET 2015	BUDGET 2016	% CHANGE
FLOW (MGD)	37.30	37.32	0.03%
BOD (LBS/DAY)	81,760	84,059	2.81%
SUSPENDED SOLIDS (LBS/DAY)	47,425	46,585	-1.77%
 <u>O &amp; M UNIT COSTS</u>			
FLOW (COST/1000 GAL)	\$0.5252	\$0.5304	0.97%
PEAK FLOW	\$0.0410	\$0.0422	3.05%
BOD (COST/LB)	\$0.1619	\$0.1543	-4.70%
SUSPENDED SOLIDS (COST/LB)	\$0.2711	\$0.2781	2.58%
 <u>O &amp; M + DEBT SERVICE UNIT COSTS</u>			
FLOW (COST/1000 GAL)	\$0.6888	\$0.7043	2.25%
PEAK FLOW	\$0.0903	\$0.0934	3.45%
BOD (COST/LB)	\$0.2267	\$0.2193	-3.26%
SUSPENDED SOLIDS (COST/LB)	\$0.3546	\$0.3611	1.82%
DOMESTIC EQUIV (COST/1000GAL)	\$1.7487	\$1.7658	0.97%

**2016 Budget  
Wastewater Treatment Charges**

	BUDGET 2015	BUDGET 2016	INCREASE (DECREASE)	% CHANGE
DULUTH	\$8,609,536	\$8,656,757	\$47,221	0.55%
CLOQUET	\$904,518	\$910,561	\$6,043	0.67%
PROCTOR	\$294,061	\$290,861	-\$3,200	-1.09%
HERMANTOWN	\$426,276	\$421,924	-\$4,352	-1.02%
ESKO	\$119,884	\$122,694	\$2,809	2.34%
SCANLON	\$86,280	\$91,545	\$5,265	6.10%
CARLTON	\$107,345	\$108,768	\$1,423	1.33%
RICE LAKE	\$45,714	\$44,221	-\$1,492	-3.26%
TWIN LAKE	\$68,926	\$71,921	\$2,995	4.35%
PIKE LAKE	\$62,359	\$63,314	\$954	1.53%
KNIFE RIVER	\$15,953	\$16,404	\$451	2.83%
OLIVER	\$15,973	\$16,041	\$68	0.42%
THOMSON	\$7,884	\$8,067	\$183	2.32%
WRENSHALL	\$19,494	\$19,871	\$377	1.93%
JAY COOKE	\$4,176	\$3,920	-\$256	-6.12%
MIDWAY	\$4,836	\$4,030	-\$806	-16.67%
MPCA LANDFILL	\$22,763	\$21,121	-\$1,642	-7.21%
DULUTH/NORTH SHORE	\$44,151	\$45,194	\$1,043	2.36%
SUBTOTAL	\$10,860,129	\$10,917,213	\$57,084	0.53%
SAPPI	\$10,438,089	\$10,640,519	\$202,430	1.94%
GEORGIA PACIFIC	\$88,501	\$75,254	-\$13,247	-14.97%
USG	\$439,387	\$449,557	\$10,170	2.31%
NEW PAGE	\$3,673,152	\$3,677,986	\$4,834	0.13%
SPECIALTY MINERALS	\$223,996	\$245,487	\$21,491	9.59%
SUBTOTAL	\$14,863,124	\$15,088,802	\$225,678	1.52%
TOTAL DISTRICT	\$25,723,253	\$26,006,015	\$282,762	1.10%



**2016 Budget  
Wastewater Treatment Charges**

	BUDGET 2015	BUDGET 2016	INCREASE (DECREASE)	% CHANGE
DULUTH	\$8,609,536	\$8,656,757	\$47,221	0.55%
CLOQUET	\$904,518	\$910,561	\$6,043	0.67%
PROCTOR	\$294,061	\$290,861	-\$3,200	-1.09%
HERMANTOWN	\$426,276	\$421,924	-\$4,352	-1.02%
ESKO	\$119,884	\$122,694	\$2,809	2.34%
SCANLON	\$86,280	\$91,545	\$5,265	6.10%
CARLTON	\$107,345	\$108,768	\$1,423	1.33%
RICE LAKE	\$45,714	\$44,221	-\$1,492	-3.26%
TWIN LAKE	\$68,926	\$71,921	\$2,995	4.35%
PIKE LAKE	\$62,359	\$63,314	\$954	1.53%
KNIFE RIVER	\$15,953	\$16,404	\$451	2.83%
OLIVER	\$15,973	\$16,041	\$68	0.42%
THOMSON	\$7,884	\$8,067	\$183	2.32%
WRENSHALL	\$19,494	\$19,871	\$377	1.93%
JAY COOKE	\$4,176	\$3,920	-\$256	-6.12%
MIDWAY	\$4,836	\$4,030	-\$806	-16.67%
MPCA LANDFILL	\$22,763	\$21,121	-\$1,642	-7.21%
DULUTH/NS	\$44,151	\$45,194	\$1,043	2.36%
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$10,860,129</b>	<b>\$10,917,213</b>	<b>\$57,084</b>	<b>0.53%</b>
	<u>Billed Estimate</u>			
SAPPI	\$11,334,849	\$10,640,519	-\$666,705	-5.90%
GEORGIA PACIFIC	\$88,501	\$75,254	-\$13,247	-14.97%
USG	\$432,373	\$449,557	\$16,555	3.82%
NEW PAGE	\$3,827,176	\$3,677,986	-\$226,812	-5.81%
SPECIALTY MINERALS	\$325,290	\$245,487	-\$96,806	-28.28%
<b>SUBTOTAL</b>	<b>\$16,008,189</b>	<b>\$15,088,802</b>	<b>-\$987,016</b>	<b>-6.14%</b>
<b>TOTAL DISTRICT</b>	<b>\$26,868,317</b>	<b>\$26,006,015</b>	<b>-\$929,932</b>	<b>-3.45%</b>



**2016 Budget  
O&M Cost Comparison**

	BUDGET 2015	BUDGET 2016	INCREASE (DECREASE)	% CHANGE
DULUTH	\$5,563,823	\$5,523,400	-\$40,423	-0.73%
CLOQUET	\$648,197	\$646,634	-\$1,563	-0.24%
PROCTOR	\$201,179	\$194,631	-\$6,548	-3.25%
HERMANTOWN	\$335,553	\$320,928	-\$14,626	-4.36%
ESKO	\$86,993	\$87,552	\$559	0.64%
SCANLON	\$65,145	\$69,810	\$4,665	7.16%
CARLTON	\$67,590	\$68,185	\$595	0.88%
RICE LAKE	\$29,911	\$27,871	-\$2,039	-6.82%
TWIN LAKE	\$51,333	\$53,486	\$2,153	4.19%
PIKE LAKE	\$47,528	\$47,737	\$209	0.44%
KNIFE RIVER	\$11,694	\$11,777	\$84	0.72%
OLIVER	\$10,557	\$10,606	\$49	0.47%
THOMSON	\$5,107	\$5,139	\$32	0.62%
WRENSHALL	\$14,260	\$14,323	\$63	0.44%
JAY COOKE	\$1,665	\$1,479	-\$187	-11.22%
MIDWAY	\$2,266	\$1,085	-\$1,181	-52.12%
MPCA LANDFILL	\$14,753	\$12,624	-\$2,129	-14.43%
DULUTH/NORTH SHORE	\$31,062	\$31,266	\$203	0.65%
SUBTOTAL	\$7,188,617	\$7,128,532	-\$60,085	-0.84%
SAPPI	\$7,552,016	\$7,629,343	\$77,327	1.02%
GEORGIA PACIFIC	\$0	\$0	\$0	
USG	\$212,090	\$217,715	\$5,624	2.65%
NEW PAGE	\$2,420,933	\$2,403,752	-\$17,181	-0.71%
SPECIALTY MINERALS	\$99,596	\$126,673	\$27,077	27.19%
SUBTOTAL	\$10,284,635	\$10,377,483	\$92,847	0.90%
TOTAL DISTRICT	\$17,473,253	\$17,506,015	\$32,762	0.19%

**2016 Budget  
Debt Service Costs**

	BUDGET 2015	BUDGET 2016	INCREASE (DECREASE)	% CHANGE
DULUTH	\$3,045,713	\$3,133,358	\$87,645	2.88%
CLOQUET	\$256,321	\$263,927	\$7,606	2.97%
PROCTOR	\$92,882	\$96,230	\$3,348	3.60%
HERMANTOWN	\$90,723	\$100,996	\$10,274	11.32%
ESKO	\$32,891	\$35,142	-\$2,251	6.84%
SCANLON	\$21,135	\$21,734	\$600	2.84%
CARLTON	\$39,755	\$40,583	\$828	2.08%
RICE LAKE	\$15,803	\$16,350	\$547	3.46%
TWIN LAKE	\$17,593	\$18,435	\$842	4.79%
PIKE LAKE	\$14,831	\$15,577	\$745	5.02%
KNIFE RIVER	\$4,260	\$4,627	\$367	8.63%
OLIVER	\$5,416	\$5,435	\$18	0.34%
THOMSON	\$2,777	\$2,928	\$151	5.45%
WRENSHALL	\$5,234	\$5,548	\$314	6.00%
JAY COOKE	\$2,511	\$2,442	(\$69)	-2.74%
MIDWAY	\$2,570	\$2,945	\$375	14.59%
MPCA LANDFILL	\$8,009	\$8,497	\$488	6.09%
DULUTH/NORTH SHORE SUBTOTAL	\$13,089	\$13,928	\$840	6.41%
	<hr/> \$3,671,511	<hr/> \$3,788,681	<hr/> \$117,169	<hr/> 3.19%
SAPPI	\$2,886,072	\$3,011,175	\$125,103	4.33%
GEORGIA PACIFIC	\$88,501	\$75,254	(\$13,247)	-14.97%
USG	\$227,297	\$231,842	\$4,545	2.00%
NEW PAGE	\$1,252,219	\$1,274,234	\$22,015	1.76%
SPECIALTY MINERALS SUBTOTAL	\$124,400	\$118,814	(\$5,586)	-4.49%
	<hr/> \$4,578,489	<hr/> \$4,711,319	<hr/> \$132,831	<hr/> 2.90%
TOTAL DISTRICT	\$8,250,000	\$8,500,000	\$250,000	3.03%

Western Lake Superior Sanitary District  
 Billing Detail  
 2016 Budget

Line No.	Class of Service	Budget Basis	Operating Expenses, based on Actual Flows and Loads				Debt Svc - based on Allocated Flows and Loads				TOTAL			
			Flow	Excess Fl	BOD	TSS	TOTAL	FLOW	PEAK FLOW	TOTAL FLOW		BOD	TSS	TOTAL
Municipalities: Duluth-														
1	Duluth	Budget	\$2,564,923	\$532,509	\$718,252	\$1,707,715	\$5,523,400	\$1,152,247	\$892,297	\$2,044,544	\$443,226	\$645,588	\$3,133,358	\$8,656,757
2	Cloquet	Budget	\$232,295	\$35,372	\$92,461	\$286,506	\$646,634	\$89,244	\$65,242	\$154,486	\$42,639	\$66,802	\$263,927	\$910,561
3	Proctor	Budget	\$96,790	\$13,841	\$28,167	\$55,834	\$194,631	\$38,771	\$26,635	\$65,405	\$13,131	\$17,693	\$96,230	\$290,861
4	Hermantown	Budget	\$135,505	\$8,970	\$64,784	\$111,668	\$320,928	\$34,596	\$19,804	\$54,400	\$19,252	\$27,345	\$100,996	\$421,924
5	Esko	Budget	\$39,316	\$3,698	\$14,083	\$30,455	\$87,552	\$12,955	\$8,270	\$21,224	\$5,841	\$8,076	\$35,142	\$122,694
6	Scanlon	DE	\$29,037	\$1,279	\$14,095	\$25,399	\$69,810	\$8,200	\$5,667	\$13,867	\$3,757	\$4,111	\$21,734	\$91,545
7	Carlton	Budget	\$38,716	\$5,903	\$7,323	\$16,243	\$68,185	\$19,257	\$13,555	\$32,812	\$3,213	\$4,558	\$40,583	\$108,768
8	Rice Lake	DE	\$10,647	\$2,743	\$5,168	\$9,313	\$27,871	\$6,104	\$4,349	\$10,453	\$2,579	\$3,318	\$16,350	\$44,221
9	Twin Lake	Budget	\$14,518	\$2,435	\$13,038	\$23,495	\$53,486	\$5,566	\$3,954	\$9,520	\$4,238	\$4,677	\$18,435	\$71,921
10	Pike Lake	DE	\$19,358	\$2,050	\$9,396	\$16,933	\$47,737	\$6,137	\$4,154	\$10,291	\$2,425	\$2,860	\$15,577	\$63,314
11	Knife River	DE	\$4,259	\$1,726	\$2,067	\$3,725	\$11,777	\$1,217	\$1,885	\$3,102	\$659	\$865	\$4,627	\$16,404
12	Oliver	DE	\$4,259	\$555	\$2,067	\$3,725	\$10,606	\$2,794	\$1,161	\$3,102	\$1,146	\$1,495	\$5,435	\$16,041
13	Thomson	DE	\$1,936	\$570	\$940	\$1,693	\$5,139	\$1,093	\$767	\$1,860	\$500	\$569	\$2,928	\$8,067
14	Wrenshall	DE	\$5,807	\$617	\$2,819	\$5,080	\$14,323	\$2,046	\$1,307	\$3,353	\$1,044	\$1,152	\$5,548	\$19,871
15	Jay Cooke	DE	\$581	\$108	\$282	\$508	\$1,479	\$584	\$421	\$1,006	\$631	\$805	\$2,442	\$3,920
16	Midway	DE	\$387	\$77	\$282	\$339	\$1,085	\$1,379	\$796	\$2,174	\$321	\$449	\$2,945	\$4,030
17	MPCA Landfill	DE	\$4,839	\$1,202	\$2,349	\$4,233	\$12,624	\$3,336	\$2,002	\$5,338	\$2,093	\$1,067	\$8,497	\$21,121
18	Unused	DE	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
19	North Shore	DE	\$11,615	\$3,853	\$5,638	\$10,160	\$31,266	\$4,669	\$4,362	\$9,031	\$2,134	\$2,763	\$13,928	\$45,194
21	Totals-Municipalities		\$3,214,787	\$617,509	\$983,210	\$2,313,025	\$7,128,532	\$1,389,031	\$1,056,628	\$2,445,659	\$548,829	\$794,192	\$3,788,681	\$10,917,213
Industrials-														
22	Georgia Pacific		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,949	\$5,531	\$17,480	\$45,550	\$12,224	\$75,254	\$75,254
23	Sappi		\$3,000,476	\$131,008	\$2,366,007	\$2,131,852	\$7,629,343	\$952,830	\$408,196	\$1,361,026	\$1,171,578	\$478,571	\$3,011,175	\$10,640,519
24	NewPage		\$890,464	\$52,403	\$1,323,837	\$137,048	\$2,403,752	\$314,061	\$127,217	\$441,277	\$703,435	\$129,522	\$1,274,234	\$3,677,986
25	USG		\$77,432	\$12,330	\$61,967	\$65,986	\$217,715	\$36,149	\$22,930	\$59,079	\$84,094	\$88,670	\$231,842	\$449,557
27	Specialty Minerals		\$40,652	\$4,470	\$338	\$81,213	\$126,673	\$21,701	\$9,334	\$31,035	\$303	\$87,476	\$118,814	\$245,487
28	Totals-Industrials		\$4,009,023	\$200,211	\$3,752,149	\$2,416,099	\$10,377,483	\$1,336,689	\$573,208	\$1,909,897	\$2,004,960	\$796,463	\$4,711,319	\$15,088,802
30	Totals-All Users		\$7,223,810	\$817,720	\$4,735,360	\$4,729,125	\$17,506,015	\$2,725,720	\$1,629,836	\$4,355,556	\$2,553,789	\$1,590,655	\$8,500,000	\$26,006,015

**WLSSD DISTRICT-WIDE ALLOCATION  
2016 BUDGET**

	TAX CAPACITY			TAX CAPACITY		
	VALUE 2015 (THOUSANDS)	% OF TOTAL	DWA 2015	VALUE 2016 (THOUSANDS)	% OF TOTAL	DWA 2016
<u>ST. LOUIS COUNTY</u>						
DULUTH	\$62,257	61.63%	\$218,801	\$62,061	61.04%	\$216,697
HERMANTOWN	\$10,480	10.38%	\$36,832	\$10,470	10.30%	\$36,558
PROCTOR	\$2,082	2.06%	\$7,317	\$2,074	2.04%	\$7,242
CANOSIA	\$2,109	2.09%	\$7,412	\$2,106	2.07%	\$7,353
DULUTH TOWNSHIP	\$511	0.51%	\$1,797	\$511	0.50%	\$1,783
GRAND LAKE	\$2,814	2.79%	\$9,890	\$2,814	2.77%	\$9,826
LAKEWOOD *	\$459	0.45%	\$1,614	\$459	0.45%	\$1,601
MIDWAY *	\$407	0.40%	\$1,430	\$407	0.40%	\$1,419
RICE LAKE	\$2,666	2.64%	\$9,370	\$2,662	2.62%	\$9,295
SOLWAY *	\$396	0.39%	\$1,391	\$395	0.39%	\$1,379
SUBTOTAL	\$84,181	83.34%	\$295,853	\$83,958	82.58%	\$293,154
<u>CARLTON COUNTY</u>						
CARLTON/THOMSON	\$558	0.55%	\$1,961	\$582	0.57%	\$2,032
CLOQUET	\$8,145	8.06%	\$28,625	\$8,004	7.87%	\$27,947
SCANLON	\$639	0.63%	\$2,246	\$651	0.64%	\$2,273
WRENSHALL	\$257	0.25%	\$903	\$284	0.28%	\$992
SILVERBROOK*	\$462	0.46%	\$1,622	\$515	0.51%	\$1,796
THOMSON TOWNSHIP	\$4,260	4.22%	\$14,972	\$5,022	4.94%	\$17,535
TWIN LAKES	\$2,509	2.48%	\$8,818	\$2,655	2.61%	\$9,270
SUBTOTAL	\$16,830	16.66%	\$59,147	\$17,713	17.42%	\$61,846
TOTAL DWA	\$101,011	100.00%	\$355,000	\$101,670	100.00%	\$355,000

\* Charges for unsewered areas are based on 25% of net tax capacity.